

State Vs. _____ TRF No. _____

Present: Sh. Parag, Ld. APP for the State.
None for accused.

Despite issuance of notice, none has appeared on behalf of accused. Perusal of the file reveals that the accused is not appearing before the court despite issuance of repeated processes to him. Till date neither the accused has come present before the court nor the prosecution/police has been able to produce him in the court. Moreover, already the challan has been taken up on various dates and the process has been issued several times. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the challan pending as issuing processes time and again shall lead to exorbitant expenditure of process serving. Therefore, this Court is of the view that issuance of repeated process will not be in the larger interest of justice and would unnecessarily burden the State Exchequer. Accordingly, by invoking power under Section 258 of Cr.P.C. the proceeding of the present challan are hereby stopped. Hence, the file is ordered to be consigned to record room after due compliance, however, **the file is ordered not to be destroyed** and same be put before the Court as and when required or as and when the accused appears before the Court. Same be mentioned with **red ink** on file cover.

Announced in open Court: 18.07.2026

(Jasmine Preet Kaur)
JMIC, Sonapat,
UID No. HR0648

Mamta Verma, Stenographer Gr. II