

MHSN020004692003



ORDER BELOW EXH 1 IN RCS No.669/2003
(Sangli Urban Co.op Ltd v. Amrut Deshpande & Ors)

On 16/04/2023 legal heirs of defendant No. 1 and 2 are taken on record. Amendment of plaint is carried out. Amended plaint is submitted on record. The learned counsel of plaintiff submitted the judgment of Honourable Bombay High Court in ***Dagu Gangadhar Dogre v. Gopinath Mahadu Thore***, WP No. 9822/2018, decided on 28/08/2018 and thereby argued that, hard copy of carried out amendment is not necessary. This is a settle law that, there is no need of freshly typed copy of amended plaint. In this matter, merely parties have been added by order below Exh. 159. The peak of angst was that when the hard copy fresh amended plaint is submitted on record it must be at least signed within the purport of Order 6 Rule 14 and 15 of CPC to afford its correctness. The amended copy is not signed by plaintiff. This is not a defect but a mere administrative objection which can be cured during the pendency of suit. As of now, I proceed to pass the following order.

<u>ORDER</u>	
1.	Suit be expedited.
2.	Plaintiff to take steps of summons for appearance of added defendants.

Date: 06/07/2023

(Wahab A. Syed)
2nd Jt. Civil Judge Junior Division,
Sangli.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Judgment are same word to word, as per the original Judgment.

Name of the Stenographer	: A.P.Patil.
Name of Court	: 2 nd Jt.CJJD Court, Sangli.
Date	: 06.07.2023
Order signed by the Presiding officer on	: 06.07.2023
Order uploaded on	: 06.07.2023