

Om Parkash vs. Anoop Singh

Present: Shri B. Aggarwal, Advocate for plaintiff.
Shri Rahul Gautam, Advocate for defendant.

Today the case was fixed for service of defendant.

Power of attorney on behalf of defendant has been filed.

2. At the same time, Id. Counsel for the plaintiff pressed upon ad-interim relief and argued that defendant may kindly be restrained from interfering in the peaceful cultivating possession of the plaintiff over the suit land and from dispossessing the plaintiff from the same and further from alienating, transferring, selling, gifting, mortgaging, releasing or leasing out the suit land in any form to anyone else on the basis of alleged Transfer Deed and its alleged mutation and also from changing the nature of the suit land by way of raising any constructions etc. in the same in any way or manner, forcibly and illegally with the help of his agents, servants etc., till the final disposal of the suit, in the interest of justice.

3. On the other hand, Id. counsel for the defendant vehemently opposed to the contention of plaintiff and further sought some time to file written statement and reply to stay application. Therefore, at this stage, without taking on record the written statement and reply to stay application on behalf of defendant, this Court is not inclined to grant any ad-interim relief. Let, the written statement and reply of defendant be come on file on **11.10.2022**, so that the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC can be decided on merits on the next date of hearing.

(Sarika)
CJ(JD)/Safidon
UID No.HR0558