

(1/1)

IN THE COURT OF JOINT CIVIL JUDGE (J.D.) MALVAN
(Presided over by S.D.Chavan)

Avinash Krishna Parab & anr. - Plaintiffs.

Vs.

Kalpana Krishna Parab & anr. - Defendants.

Order below Exh.10 in Regular Civil Suit No.39/2013
(Passed on 3rd December, 2013)

This is an application for service to defendant nos.3 and 4. Perused bailiff report. Bailiff reported that defendant nos.3 and 4 are residing at Bombay. But by this application it is contended that defendant nos.3 and 4 are residing at the address given in the plaint. Plaintiff Avinash is present. This court has made query whether really these defendants are residing at the address given in the plaint. Plaintiff Avinash submitted that defendant nos.3 and 4 are not residing at the address given in the plaint. Upon further query, he submitted that defendant nos.3 and 4 are not residing at village but, they are residing at Bombay. So application Exh.10 is rejected. Plaintiff is directed to take proper steps against defendant nos.3 and 4.

Malvan.
Date:- 03/12/2013

(S.D. Chavan)
Jt. Civil Judge (J.D.), Malvan.