


0
→

Heard both sides. Perused the application & the say.
Def No. 1 has contended that she could not file WU within time as she could not obtain some important revenue documents. The above contentions is countered by the plaintiff on the ground that def No. 1 has not specified which documents she did not get. I have gone through the record. In the present suit, the rights of both the parties are going to be decided. It is a settled position of law that the decision of suit should be on merits by giving an opportunity to both sides to put up their case. It is true that there is delay of almost two years in filing of the WU. However, the delay can be compensated by imposing appropriate costs on the defaulting party. The reasons mentioned in the application are stated on oath. Hence, in my opinion, for the reasons stated above, the application is liable to be allowed. Thus the order

1. The application is allowed and no WU order passed against def No. 1 is set aside subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2000/- to the plaintiff. on or before next date

2. Upon payment of costs, the WU of def No. 1 be read & recorded


20/3/21