

Order below Exh.5 in Regular Civil Suit No.24/2015.

1. Perused the application, affidavit filed in support thereof and the documents filed on record. Heard learned advocate shri. B.N. Prabhu for plaintiffs for sufficient length and time.

2. The learned advocate for plaintiff prayed for *ex parte ad-interim injunction* by submitting that the defendants are trying to take the forcible possession of the suit property and for that purpose they had cut down the many trees in the suit property and caused huge loss to the plaintiffs and so if *ex parte ad- interim injunction* is not granted, the very purpose of filing this suit is frustrated. I again gone through the documents filed on record particularly the 7/12 extract and mutation entries, which show the names of the plaintiffs appearing to the suit property. But, considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and the alleged incident of taking possession was dated 27/02/2015, I am of the bonafide view that, before issuing *ad-interim injunction* as prayed, it is necessary that defendants would have to be heard. Though, considering the urgency in the matter, the order of maintaining *status-quo* in respect of the suit property is very much necessary. Hence the order.

ORDER

1. Defendants are hereby directed to maintain *status-quo* in respect of suit property, till filing of written statement and say by them.
2. Issue show cause notice to defendants as to why *injunction* as prayed should not be granted against them, till final disposal of the suit.
3. E.P., and S.B., is allowed. Returnable on 28 /04/2015.

Vengurla.
Date:- 21/04/2015.

(D.S. Zanvar)
Civil Judge (J.D.), Vengurla.

