

CNR No. MHSI01-000176-2026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
SINDHUDURG-OROS**

(Presided over by V. S. Deshmukh, Additional Sessions Judge, Sindhudurg- Oros.)

Sessions Case No. 07/2026

Exh. 6

State of Maharashtra
Through Kankavali Police Staiton.

...Prosecution

Versus

Madhusudan Siddhayya Tokala.
Age 52 years, Occu. Business,
R/o. 2/20, 7-cross 9 Main Tatanagar,
Sahakarnagar, Post Banglore,
Dist. Banglore, State – Karnataka.

....Accused

[A] CASE DETAILS:-

• FIR Number & Date	299/2025 dated 23.10.2026
• Police Station, District and State	Police Station - Kankavali, Dist. Sindhudurg, State- Maharashtra
• Sections invoked	Sections 103(1), 61(2), 238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
• Maximum punishment prescribed	Life Imprisonment, Death

[B] CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE:-

• Date of Arrest	29.10.2025
• Total period of custody undergone	149 days

[C] STATUS OF TRIAL:-

• Stage of proceedings (Investigation/ Charge-sheet / Cognizance /Framing of Charges/ Trial)	Charge-sheet
• Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	49
• Number of prosecution witnesses examined.	Not yet

[D] CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS:-

• FIR No. & Police Station	1. Police Station Devahalli FIR No.128/2025 2. Police Station Bellanduru FIR No.606/2025.
• Sections	1. 115(2), 140(1), 351(2), 310(2) of BNS. 2. 305, 318(2), 340(2), 336(2), 319(2), 336(3), 61(1) of BNS.
• Status (Pending / Acquitted Convicted)	Pending.

[E] PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS :-

• Court	N/A.
• Case No.	N/A.
• Outcome of case	N/A.

[F] COERCIVE PROCESSES:-

• Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued.	No.
• Whether declared a proclaimed offender.	No.

ORDER BELOW EXH.6

This application is filed by the accused No.1/applicant in C. R. No.299/2025, registered at Kankavali Police Station, for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

2. According to applicant/accused No.1 he has not committed any crime and he is falsely implicated in the alleged offence. He is permanent resident of Bangalore, Karnataka State. He does not have criminal antecedents. Charge-sheet is filed. Hence his custodial interrogation is not necessary. Therefore his continued detention is unnecessary. Hence prayed to allow the application.

3. Investigating Officer and Ld. A.P.P. Shri. Desai have filed say at Exh. 8 and 9 respectively and opposed the application. According to them, finger prints of the applicant/accused are found on the mirror of Swift Car. It confirms presence of applicant/accused on the spot of the incident. The CCTV footage of Crescent hotel shows that deceased Shriniwas Reddy was accompanied by applicant/accused. He has played active role in the commission of the alleged offence. Hence application be rejected.

4. Heard Ld. Adv. S. D. Desai or applicant/accused No.1. He referred the statements of witnesses Saicharan Darbar and Rupesh Reddy and argued that the statements of these witnesses show that the applicant/accused is innocent. He argued that no weapon used in the

commission of the alleged offence is recovered at the instance of the applicant/accused. By no stretch of imagination it is not understood that how by killing deceased Shriniwas Reddy the applicant/accused would be able to grab his property. Hence it is no convincing that applicant/accused murdered deceased Shriniwas Reddy to grab his property. According to him, the case is founded on circumstantial evidence. There is no eye witness to the alleged incident. Under such circumstances it is unjust to detain the applicant/accused behind bar for indefinite period. Hence he prayed for releasing the accused/applicant on bail.

5. Heard Learned A. P. P. Shri. Desai for the prosecution. He reiterated his contentions in the say filed at Exh. 9 and prayed to reject the application.

6. Perused charge-sheet and the documents produced with it. While considering the bail application the Court has to consider the gravity of the offences, possibility of tampering with the witnesses, possibility of absconding of the accused, criminal antecedents of the accused as well as the time required to complete the trial.

7. On 23.10.2025 informant Ravindra Rajaram Gurav came across dead-body of an unknown person at 'Ganpati Sana' at Mumbai-Goa highway and therefore he lodged report with Police Station, Kankavali. Accordingly C.R. No. 299/2025 is registered against unknown person. Near the scene of incident one Swift Desire Car without any number plate having blood stains was also found. The Swift Desire car was having blood stains below the driver seat, there was blood stained handkerchief on the driver seat. The Investigating Officer traced that it

belongs to Shamala Reddy from Bangalore, Karnataka. Shamala Redddi is mother of deceased Shriniwas Reddy.

8. On 03.11.2025 her statement was recorded by the Investigating Officer. Smt. Dr. Shamala Reddy disclosed the names of the accused. According to her applicant/accused took the deceased Shriniwas Reddy with him as Estate Agent Lalita Wani filed complaint against the deceased, applicant/accused and others and crime was registered against them. Statements of witnesses Saicharan Darbar and Rupesh Reddy. Their anticipatory bail was also rejected and therefore applicant/accused took deceased Shriniwas Reddy with him to evade the arrest.

9. The Investigating Officer went to Goa State and conducted inquiry at hotel 'Crescent' and hotel 'Varanda Do Mar' at Goa. He seized CCTV footages from both the hotels vide seizure panchanamas. Seizure panchanamas show that as per the CCTV footage deceased Shiniwas Reddy was accompanied by applicant /accused and other accused persons till 21.10.2025. The seizure panchanama of videofootage dated 28.10.2025 shows that on 21.10.2025 applicant/accused along with deceased Shriniwas Reddy left hotel 'Varanda Do Mar' after checking out at about 03.07 p.m. and left the hotel in Swift Desire car. From the CCTV footage it prima facie appears that applicant/accused was with deceased Shriniwas Reddy and both of them travelled in Swift Desire car which was found near the body of deceased Shriniwas Reddy. The Swift Desire car was having blood stains below the driver seat and there was blood stained handkerchief on the driver seat. Thus the applicant/accused is the only person who was with deceased Shriniwas Reddy in Swift Desire car which was found near the scene of occurrence with blood stains. The record

further shows that it is the applicant/accused who dismantled the number plate of Swift Desire car. The footage of Banda Police Station reveals that all the three cars in which all accused and deceased were travelling, were seen going towards Dodamarg at the same time. It is prima facie indicative of hatching of conspiracy by the accused.

10. All these facts prima facie point out the involvement of applicant/accused in the commission of alleged offence. No doubt no weapon used in the commission of the alleged offence is seized from the applicant/accused. No doubt the case is founded on the circumstantial evidence. However material on record prima facie suggests participation of applicant/accused in the commission of the alleged offence.

11. Applicant/accused is from Karnataka State. There is crime pending against the accused in which anticipatory bail was rejected to the applicant/accused, deceased Shriniwas Reddy and others and therefore, they were running around to evade the arrest. This conduct is suggestive of the possibility of his absconding. The offence allegedly committed by the applicant/accused is grievous offence. There is strong possibility of his absconding. Considering the involvement of the applicant/accused in the commission of alleged offence, gravity of the offence and possibility of his absconding it is improper to release him on bail. Hence I pass following order. -

ORDER

The application stands rejected
(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Sindhudurg-Oros.
Date : 02.04.2026

(V. S. Deshmukh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Sindhudurg-Oros.