



**ORDER BELOW EXH. 112**

( Prakash Janu Palav & Ors. Vs.  
Laxman Dharmaji Palav & Ors. )

1. Appellants have filed this application for continuation of the status-quo order, till next date.
2. Heard, the Ld. Advocates for appellants and for respondent No. 1 to 10. Gone through the available documents on record.
3. It is the contention of appellants in the application that, the Court has granted the status-quo. The argument below interim application is yet to commence. Therefore, the status-quo is necessary to extent, till the next date. Hence, this application.
4. To this application, respondent No. 21 and 23 have filed the say on the application and given no objection.
5. The Ld. Advocate for respondent No. 1 to 10, is absent when the present application is came to be filed. Respondent No. 1 to 10 and Ld. Advocate have repeatedly called in second session, but, remained absent. The Ld. Advocate for respondent No. 1 to 10 have argued and made submission at length in the morning session, making various objections for extension of the status-quo and filed the say at Exh. 104 to the Exhibits 5, 6 and 8.

6. After going through the contention of present application, it is not specifically stated on affidavit, what is the status of the subject matter, as on today. The reason mentioned in the application is not found to be satisfactory. Thus, considering the contention of the respondents in the morning session, they have raised various grounds for granting of status-quo, i.e. they have objected that, the appellants have misrepresented to the Court by not filing the various documents and deprived the right of respondents in performing of the annual religious rituals. They have insisted to this Court that, the Court shall pass the order under Order XXXIX, Rule 4 of C.P.C. Thus, considering this contention, it is not proper at this stage to make any order, inspite of giving the opportunity of being heard to the appellants. Therefore, the above contention cannot be considered today. Thus, considering all contentions of the appellants and documents on record, the Court pass the following order:-

**ORDER**

1. The application is rejected.
2. The appellants and respondents are directed to argue on Exhibits 5, 6 and 8, as expeditiously as possible.
3. The status-quo order stands vacated against respondents.

**Sindhudurg**  
**Date - 05/01/2026**

**( S. S. Indalkar )**  
**Adhoc District Judge-1,**  
**I/c. District Judge-1,**  
**Sindhudurg-Oros.**