

**Order below Exh.51**

Perused the application. This is to delete name of defendant No. 6 Sunita Krushna Pednekar from the suit proceeding.

**02.** It is contended that defendant No. 6 Sunita Krushna Pednekar was expired on 13/03/2024 at Mumbai. Defendant No. 7 to 10 are her legal heirs and they are already on record in this suit proceeding. Hence, plaintiff prayed for deleting name of defendant No. 6 from this proceeding.

**03.** On the other hand, defendants filed their say and opposed the application contending that application is not within limitation and the reason mentioned in application is also not proper. Hence, they prayed for the rejection of the application.

**04.** Heard both sides. Perused the record. The death certificate of defendant No. 6 is on record along with Exh. 49. Considering arguments of both sides and death certificate of defendant No. 6 I pass following order :-

**ORDER**

1. The application is allowed.
2. The plaintiff is directed to delete name of defendant No. 6 from the suit proceeding within 14 days from the date of this order.
3. Both parties are directed to proceed with the suit on next date as per law.

Date:03/07/2025

Place: Lanja.

(S. R. Joshi)  
Civil Judge Junior Division.  
Lanja.

**CERTIFICATE**

*I affirm that the contents of this pdf file Order are same words as per original Order,*

*Name of the Stenographer: S. D. Chavan*

*Court Name: Civil & Criminal Court, Lanja,  
Dist.Ratnagiri,*

*Date of decision : 03/07/2025.*

*Judgment/Order signed by P.O.on : 03/07/2025.*

*Judgment/Order uploaded on : 04/07/2025.*