

**IN THE COURT OF BISHAN SAROOP,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
MOGA (UID No.PB0449).**

CNR No.PBMO010052862026

CIS Filing No.BA/2199/2026

Date of order : 14.08.2026

State

Versus

Amritpal Singh son of Ranjit Singh resident of Near Kitty Di Gym, Patto
Hira Singh, Tehsil Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

---Accused-applicant

FIR No.67 dated 25.04.2026,

Under Sections:- 137 (2), 96 of BNS Act,

Police Station :- Nihal Singh Wala.

Bail Application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

Present :- Sh. Baljinder Bansal, Advocate, for accused-applicant.
Sh. Amandeep Adiwala Addl. Public Prosecutor for State

ORDER

1. Vide this order, I shall dispose of application for bail, particulars of which are giving herein above, preferred under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. Act, by aforesaid applicant.

2. The brief facts of the case are that aforesaid FIR was got registered on the basis of statement of complainant Jeewan Singh son of Nek Singh wherein she has stated that he is a labourer. He is having three children i.e. one daughter and two sons. Her minor daughter (name of victim withheld) is 17 years old and her date of birth is 01.01.2009. On 22.04.2026, at about 5.00 PM, her daughter (victim) has left the house without any intimation and when he returned home from his work, then his wife told him that his daughter (victim) is not present in the house. They searched their daughter in his relative but she could not be found. Now, he is sure that Amritpal Singh son of Ranjit Singh has enticed away his minor daughter (victim) on the pretext to perform marriage with her. On the basis

of statement of the complainant, the aforesaid FIR was got registered. On 11.06.2026, accused/ applicant was arrested in this case.

3. I have heard learned counsel for applicant-accused as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the record.

4. From perusal of FIR, it reveals that FIR was registered against accused/applicant on the allegations that accused/applicant had kidnapped minor daughter of the complainant i.e. victim from the lawful guardianship of her parents without their consent. In the case in hand, the victim has already been recovered. Now, nothing is to be recovered from the accused/applicant. Accused/applicant is in custody since 11.06.2026. As such, keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that accused is in custody since the date of arrest and it will take considerable time for conclusion of trial. I am of the view that in given circumstances, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping the accused/applicant in custody for longer period. As such, the accused/applicant is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing his bail bonds and surety bonds to the tune of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of this court/ magistrate subject to the following conditions:

1. That the applicant will not tamper with the prosecution evidence.
2. That the applicant will appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing.
3. That the applicant will not leave country without prior permission of court.

5. It is further made clear that in case of any violation thereof, this order shall cease to have effect qua the same. Papers be attached with the main file.

Pronounced.
Dated :- 14.08.2026
Sunny Stenographer-II

(Bishan Saroop)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB0449).

State Vs. Amritpal Singh

Present :- Sh. Baljinder Bansal, Advocate, for accused-applicant.
Sh. Amandeep Adiwali Addl. Public Prosecutor for State

Record received. Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, the present bail application stands allowed for the reasons stated therein. Papers be attached with the main file.

Pronounced.

Dated :- 14.08.2026

Sunny Stenographer-II

(Bishan Saroop)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB0449).