

Yogesh Versus State of Haryana -1-

Bail application No.144 of 2026.
CNR No.HRR-H01-006777-2026.
Presented on : 15-07-2026
Registered on : 15-07-2026
Decided on : 17-07-2026

IN THE COURT OF
Additional District and Sessions Judge
AT Rohtak,Rohtak
(Presided Over by Sh. Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
BA/1407/2026

Yogesh son of Rakesh, resident of house No.1671, Ward No.1, Indira Colony, Rohtak, at present resident of Sanjay Colony, Rohtak

--- Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana.

---Respondent-State.

FIR No.87 dated 24.2.2025.
Under Sections: 103(1) BNS
Police Station: City Rohtak

2nd application for bail under Section 483 BNSS.

Argued by: Mr. Madhur Arora, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Ms. Bhawna, Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

O R D E R:

The applicant-accused has filed the present bail application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail through his counsel in the above-said case. The first bail application filed on behalf of the applicant-accused was dismissed by this Court, vide order, dated 09.03.2026.

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

2. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that the present case FIR has been registered on the basis of call received by the applicant-accused on dial 112 number to the police in Control Room, whereby ASI Ashok Kumar, on receipt of information has reached on the spot and found the dead body of deceased-Ritu. The family members of the deceased and the persons from the neighbourhood was also found on the spot. The FSL team has been called. The dead body was shifted to the mortuary. The applicant-accused Yogesh has been enquired to the effect that he is working in Shori Clothes Market, Rohtak, on cloth shop. He and his sister Ritu(since deceased), aged about 29 years, were living with their parents. His father used to do the work of Astrologer and Ritu was residing at home. On 24.2.2025, when he has gone to Shori Cloth Market at his shop, he received telephonic message from his father at around 5.00 P.M. informing that Ritu is quarreling with her mother and he had asked to take care of them. Thereafter, he has reached to his house. He found Ritu was quarreling with his mother in loud voice. He tried to convince her, but she has also started quarreling with him. Due to that, I should finish her work and for all so that she does not quarrel again. He has disclosed the reason for the occurrence that the deceased was mentally disturbed since last 4-5 years and most of time used to quarrel with the family members and due to that to get rid of the daily and trouble he had done this crime. He had also disclosed the phone number which he has used to call the police belongs to his father. Thereafter, the applicant-accused has been arrested. The physical clue from the spot has been

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

lifted. After completion of investigation challan has been filed. Hence, the present regular bail application.

3. Notice of the bail application given to the respondent-State and reply to the bail application has been filed.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused has submitted that earlier bail application was dismissed vide order dated 9.3.2026. Thereafter, there is change in circumstances that complainant and all other private witnesses have been examined and they have not supported the case of the prosecution. The remaining witnesses are formal witnesses. The deceased had committed suicide on account of mental issue. The mother of the accused i.e. mother of deceased was also having mental issued. No recovery was effected from the applicant-accused. The applicant-accused do not have any criminal antecedent. He is in custody since 24.2.2025. Conclusion of trial shall take a long time. Therefore, his bail application may kindly be allowed.

5. Whereas, on the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State, has submitted that there is voice record of dial 112 to the police, whereupon, the applicant-accused had himself telephonically informed the police regarding the commission of crime by him and police had reached to the spot only on the information given by the applicant-accused. There is record on the case file. The voice sample has also been taken for comparison with the said voice and report of the same is still awaited. The mobile phone which has been used for dial 112 number which has been using by the applicant-accused is registered in the name of his father.

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

When police has reached on the spot, he has apprehended then and there. He himself has recorded the present FIR and thereafter, put his signature on the complaint. There is no reason for false implication on the part of the police. Till date, no complaint against the investigating officer has been filed that he has fabricated false evidence or obtained signature by forgery of the accused on the complaint. There are 11 injuries on the head and face with the axe on the person of the deceased, which has been recovered from the spot itself. Heinous crime has been committed. The present case is peculiar case, though, witnesses have not supported the case of the prosecution, however, the case is based upon circumstances evidence. Therefore, no lenient view may be taken. The bail application may kindly be dismissed.

6. Submissions heard.

7. The material witnesses have not supported the case of the prosecution, however, the case is based upon circumstances evidence. The crime itself has been reported by the accused himself as per allegations. There is voice recording on dial 112 from the mobile phone used by the applicant-accused is there which was registered in the name of his father. The complaint which is basis of the present FIR is also having signature of the applicant-accused. The murder has been committed inside the house of the accused. Therefore, there are serious allegations against the applicant-accused. The circumstances on file warrants that no lenient view be taken, as such, no ground is made out to grant the concession of bail.

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

Accordingly, bail application filed on behalf of the applicant-accused is hereby dismissed. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Announced in open Court.

Dated: 17.07.2026

‘Harish Kumar’

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)

Spl.Judge-Additional Sessions

Judge, Rohtak.(UID No.HR0492)

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

Argued by: Mr. Madhur Arora, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Ms. Bhawna, Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

Reply has been filed. Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, the present bail application has been dismissed. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Announced in open Court.
Dated: 17.07.2026

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Spl.Judge-Additional Sessions
Judge, Rohtak.(UID No.HR0492)

‘Harish Kumar’

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak. 17.07.2026.

