

**Order below Exh. 01 in R.C.S. No. 62/2019**  
**(CNR No. MHRT080005532019)**

Present suit is pending for evidence. The Power of Attorney of the plaintiff bank, Mr. Mukund Khandekar, has filed his evidence affidavit at Exh. 35. Therein he has referred the documents produced on record as per list at Exh. 05. As per said list of documents, total 14 documents are produced.

**02.** I have heard learned advocates for both sides on the point of exhibiting those documents.

**03.** Leaned advocate for the plaintiff bank, Shri. K. S. Sahastrabuddhe, has submitted that all these documents are referred in the evidence affidavit of PW01 Mukund Khandekar, thus, they may be admitted in evidence.

**04.** Learned advocate for the defendant, Smt. P. C. Chavan, has submitted that, considering nature of these documents, they cannot be admitted in evidence.

**05.** Noticeably, by order below Exh. 31, this Court has permitted the plaintiff bank to adduce secondary evidence. Such permission is granted in respect of documents at Sr. No. 01 to 08 in the list at Exh. 05. These documents are – (1) copy of application for loan, (2) copy of promissory note (L434), (3) copy of L435, (4) copy of L440, (5) copy of draft declaration (L515), (6) copy of agreement of hypothecation, (7) copy of loan sanction letter, and (8) copy of report of valuation. These documents are referred to in the evidence affidavit of PW01. Being so, these documents can be

admitted in evidence. Accordingly, these documents at Sr. No. 01 to 08 in the list at Exh. 05 are marked as Exh. 37 to 44 and they are admitted in evidence.

**06.** The documents at Sr. No. 09 to 12 in the list at Exh. 05 are, respectively, office copy of notice sent to the defendant, postal receipt, postal acknowledgment, and reply notice by the defendant. Considering nature of these documents and the fact that they are referred in the evidence affidavit of PW01, these documents are admitted in evidence and marked as Exh. 45 to 48.

**07.** The document at Sr. No. 13 in the list at Exh. 05 is said to be a copy of statement of account issued by the then manager of the bank. Thus, without said manager is being examined, said document cannot be admitted in evidence.

**08.** The document at Sr. No. 14 is copy of the document of power of attorney said to have executed in favour of Mr. Mukund Khandekar by the bank. In respect of said document, this Court has already passed an order below Exh. 36. The plaintiff bank shall take the appropriate steps, in consonance with order below Exh. 36, for proving said document.

**09.** Ordered accordingly.

Date :- 16/08/2024

**(B. D. Tare)**  
Civil Judge (J.D.), Devrukh.

- : C E R T I F I C A T E : -

I affirm that the contents of this Pdf file order are same words as per original order.

|                        |                                                                           |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of Stenographer   | :- S. A. Bhale                                                            |
| Court Name             | :- The Court of Civil Judge (J.D.) &<br>Judicial Magistrate F.C., Devrukh |
| Date                   | :- 16/08/2024                                                             |
| order signed by PO. on | :- 16/08/2024                                                             |
| order uploaded on      | :- 16/08/2024                                                             |