

ORDER BELOW EXH.65 IN REGULAR CIVIL APPEAL NO. 49/2014

(CNR NO.:MHRT040004422014)

(Adam Ishamuddin Yete & Ors. Vs. Ibrahim Hishamuddin Yete & Ors.)

1. Heard the learned advocate for the respondent and the learned advocate for the appellant. Perused the application, the say filed by the appellant, and the record.

2. The present application made stating that respondent no.7 has died on 06.10.2024 and that the appellant has failed to bring his legal representatives on record within the prescribed period of 90 days. However, from the record and the say filed by the appellant, it is evident that respondent no.7 is a bank, which is a juristic person. A juristic person does not die, and therefore, the provisions relating to substitution of legal representatives are not attracted.

3. In view of the above discussion, the contention raised in the application that respondent no.7 has died and that the appeal has abated for want of substitution is factually incorrect and legally untenable.

4. Consequently, the present application is devoid of merits and deserves to be rejected. Hence, the application stands rejected.

Khed
Date-09.01.2026.

Sd/-
(V.T. Nirgude)
District Judge – 2, Khed.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this pdf file order are same word as per original order.

Name of Stenographer : S.K.Inamdar

Court Name : District Judge 2, Khed.

Date of Decision : 09.01.2026

Order Signed by P.O. on : 09.01.2026

Order uploaded on :09.01.2026