

Order below Exh. 59 in R.C.C. No.96/2026

(CNR. No.MHRT030007272026)

1. This is an application for bail on behalf of accused no. 1. It is stated in the application that he has not committed any offence. He is falsely implicated in the offence.

2. The Ld. A.P.P. filed his say and submitted that the I.O. has filed charge-sheet against the accused persons after getting sufficient evidence against them, offences levelled against accused persons are serious and non-bailable and hence, requested to reject the bail application. I.O. and complainant have also strongly opposed to allow present application.

3. Perused the application and say filed by the Ld. A.P.P., I.O. and complainant. Heard Ld. Advocate Shri. Shaikh for the accused no. 1 at length. The accused persons are charge-sheeted for the offences u/s.318(4), 319(2), 336(2)(3), 340(2), 204, 3(5) of B.N.S. and section 66(c), 66(d) of Information Technology act 2000. The accused no. 1 resides at Ravalpada, M. G. Park, Dahisar (East), Mumbai. The complainant have made objection to release accused no. 1 on bail. He prayed that the amount may be recovered by accused persons which they obtain from him in present crime. It appears from record that, charge-sheet is filed. Nothing remains about the investigation. Ld. A.P.P. and I.O. could not referred valid and proper reason to justify the detention of accused persons in prison. In case of long standing detention of accused no. 1 in M.C.R., only the other family members of accused will suffer a lot. The accused no. 1 can not be detained for such

indefinite period and that will also cause prejudice to the defence of accused. So far as non jurisdictional residence of accused no. 1 is concerned, his residential proof and undertaking can be taken. Only for that reason accused cannot be detained. I do not find any just and proper reason to reject present application. Therefore, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

1. The accused no. 1 be released on P.B. of Rs.25,000/- and two S.B. of like amount.
2. He shall furnish the full address proof about his residence and work place and identity proof of two blood relative along with his latest working phone or cell number.
3. Further, the accused no. 1 is directed to remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court.
4. The accused no. 1 will not flee away outside India without prior permission of the Court.
5. The accused no. 1 shall not to threatened and pressurize prosecution witness.

Date : - 17/06/2026

(Smt. S. S. Pingale)
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ratnagiri.

- : C E R T I F I C A T E : -

I affirm that the contents of this Pdf file order are same word as per original order.

Name of Stenographer	:-	S.M.Jadhav
Court Name	:-	The Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ratnagiri
Date of decision	:-	17.06.2026
Judgment signed by PO. on	:-	17.06.2026
Judgment uploaded on	:-	17.06.2026