

Order below Exh.23 in R.C.C. No.96/2026


(CNR. No.MHRT030007272026)

1. This is an application for bail on behalf of accused no.4. It is stated in the application that he has not committed any offence. He is falsely implicated in the offence.
2. The Ld. A.P.P. filed his say and submitted that the I.O. has filed charge-sheet against the accused after getting sufficient evidence against him, offences levelled against accused are serious and non-bailable and hence, requested to reject the bail application. I.O. and complainant have also strongly opposed to allowed to present application.
3. Perused the application and say filed by the Ld. A.P.P., I.O. and complainant. Heard Ld. advocate Smt. S. C. Bhatkar for the accused at length. The accused is charge-sheeted for the offences u/s.318(4), 319(2), 336(2)(3), 340(2), 204, 3(5) of B.N.S. and section 66(c), 66(d) of Information Technology act 2000. The accused no.4 resides at Mumbai and his permanent address is at Bihar State. The complainant have only made objection to release accused no.4 on bail. He prayed that the amount may be recovered by accused persons which they obtain from him in present crime. It appears from record that, Charge-sheet is filed. Nothing remains about the investigation. Ld. A.P.P. and I.O. could not refered valid and proper reason to justify the detaintion of accused in prison. In case of long standing detention of accused no.4 in M.C.R., only the other family members of accused will suffer a lot. The accused no.4 can not be detained for such indefinite period and that will also cause prejudice to the defence of accused. So far as non jurisdictional residence of accused no.4 is concerned, his residencial proof and

undertaking can be taken. Only for that reason accused cannot be detained. I do not find any just and proper reason to reject present application. Therefore, I pass the following order-

ORDER

1. The accused no.4 be released on P.B. of Rs.25,000/- and two S.B. of like amount.
2. He shall furnish the full address proof about his residence and work place i.e. at Mumbai and at Bihar State, and identity proof of two blood relative along with his latest working phone or cell number.
3. Further, the accused no.4 is directed to remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court.
4. The accused will not flee away outside India without prior permission of the Court.



05/06/2026.

(Smt. S. S. Pingale)

Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ratnagiri

Date : - 05/06/2026