

Order below Exh. 20 in MACP No.15 of 2025

(CNR NO.MHRT01-000693-2025)

(1). Perused the application and say filed by claimant. Respondent no.2 submitted by this application that he could not file his written say within prescribed period of 90 days and there has been delay of two days for the same. Although no written statement order is not passed, technically the respondent is required to take permission of the Court for filing his written statement. The delay is not intentional. As the requisite documents and information could not be obtained, there has been two days delay for filing written statement. Finally, it is prayed to allow the application.

(2). The petitioner/claimant strongly resisted the application and submitted that the reasons put forth by the respondent no.2 are false and vexatious and not justifiable and not acceptable. The application be rejected and impose heavy costs.

(3). On perusal of application, it appears that the delay is of only two days which cannot be said to be inordinate. The reasons put forth can be said to be justifiable and cogent. Therefore considering aforesaid facts and circumstances, the application can be said to be worthy to be allowed. Hence, I

proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application stands allowed.
2. Written statement / Say filed by respondent no.2 be accepted.

Date : 30.06.2026

(A. M. Ambalkar)
Chairman,
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
Ratnagiri.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Case Number		MACP No. 15/2025
Name of Stenographer	:-	Mr. Rajesh G. Rahate
Name of Court	:-	District Judge-1,Ratnagiri
Date of Dictation on computer	:-	30.06.2026
Order signed by the P.O. on	:-	30.06.2026
Order uploaded on	:-	30.06.2026