


MHRT010005032025 	POCSO Spl.Case No.27/25 State of Maharashtra Vs. Ganpat Pandurang Zore
A) CASE DETAILS	
FIR Number & Date	99/2025 Dated 11/05/2025
Police Station, District & State	Lanja Police Station, Tal. Lanja, Dist. Ratnagiri, Maharashtra
Sections invoked	BNS 64, 64(2)(f)(i),65(2) & POCSO Act 4, 6, 8 & 12
Maximum punishment prescribed	Life Imprisonment
B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
Date of arrest	11/05/2025
Total period of custody undergone	PC till 14/05/2025 MCR 14/05/2025 Total Days 10 Months, 22 days
C) STATUS OF TRIAL	
Stage of proceedings	Trial
Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	30
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	NA.
D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS	
FIR No. & Police Station	NA.

Sections	NA.
Status	NA.
E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS	
Court	Hon'ble PDJ, Ratnagiri
Case No.	Cri.Bail Appln No.68/2025
Outcome of case	Rejected
F) COERCIVE PROCESSES	
Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	NA.
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	NA.

ORDER ON BAIL APPLICATION EXH.13

(Dictated and delivered in open Court on 09/04/2026)

(1). Applicant/accused Ganpat Pandurang Zore, filed this bail application U/Sec.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail in Crime No.99/2025; for the offences punishable under sections 64, 64(2)(f)(i), 65(2) of the BNS & sections 4, 6, 8 & 12 of the POCSO Act, registered at Lanja Police Station, Tal. Lanja, Dist. Ratnagiri.

(2). It is prosecution case that on 19/02/2025, the mother of victim girl lodged report to the Lanja Police Station, Tal. Lanja, Dist.Ratnagiri and thereby made allegations that, she resides with her husband and three daughters and father-in-law

and mother-in-law at village Govil, Dhangarwadi, Tal. Lanja, Dist. Ratnagiri. On 09/05/2025, the informant and her mother-in-law Mrs. Lakshmi had taken the informant's daughter number 3 to the doctor at Lanja as she was suffering from fever. At that time, the victim girl aged about 5 yrs was at the home with her grandfather Babya Baba Zore. Victim girl had gone to play at the house of accused, when the accused called her into the house, made her lie down on the bed and forcibly had sexual intercourse with her. The incident was narrated by the victim girl when her mother i.e., informant came to house and the informant filed a report at the Lanja Police Station and lodged report.

(3). Applicant submitted that he has not committed any offence. He is innocent. He has been falsely implicated. He is not concerned with any offence. The chemical analyzer report shows negative results. He will not abscond anywhere. There is no criminal history of applicant. He will not abscond or pressurize or induce to victim and any witness.

(4). Investigating Officer submitted his say at Exh.17 and strongly resisted the application and submitted that the offence is serious one. The applicant may pressurize or induce to victim girl if released on bail. He may abscond if released on bail. He may commit more serious offence. Finally, it is prayed to reject

the application.

(5). The mother of victim girl i.e., informant also appeared and filed her say and strongly resisted the application and submitted that accused may commit similar offence again and due to act of accused, there is pain in the stomach of victim girl and necessary treatment is going on in private and government hospital. If accused is released on bail, he may pressurize and harm them. Finally, it is prayed to reject the application.

(6). Heard learned Chief LADC Shri. Unmesh Mulye for the applicant and learned APP Smt. A. Y. Thakur for the State. Perused the application, say and charge-sheet.

(7). On perusal of charge-sheet and medical report, it appears that the victim girl stated and described the act of accused which clearly indicates the aggravated sexual assault by accused on the victim girl. The victim girl is aged about only 5 yrs. This shows that there is prima facie case against the accused. The nature of accusation of serious one and heinous. The charge-sheet contains sufficient material against the accused. Therefore considering aforesaid facts and circumstances, the accused cannot be said to be entitled to grant of regular bail. Hence, I proceed to pass the order.

ORDER

1. Application is rejected.
2. Inform concerned police station.

Date:- 09.04.2026

(A. M. Ambalkar)
Judge, Special Court, Ratnagiri.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Case Number		POCSO Spl.Case No.27/2025
Name of Stenographer	:-	Mr. Rajesh G. Rahate
Name of Court	:-	Judge, Special Court, Ratnagiri.
Date of Dictation	:-	08-04-2026.
Order signed by the P.O. on	:-	08-04-2026.
Order uploaded on	:-	08-04-2026.