


MHRG170016752021 	ORDER BELOW EXH. 53 IN CIVIL M. A. NO.83/2021 Sonali Gaurav Jadhav Vs. Sushila Ashok Jadhav CNR NO. MHRG170016752021
--	---

1. By this application, respondent No.3 has prayed to allow it to carry out amendment as stated in the application.
2. Learned advocate Smt. Pradnya Bansode for respondent No.3 has submitted that while filing the reply an error has occurred in the name of applicant instead of Sonali, her name has been written as Sonal, whereas the name of Aashray has been mentioned as Akshay. According to her, it is a typographical error. Therefore according to her, it is necessary to correct the typographical error.
3. Learned advocate Mr. Kale for the applicant has submitted that the application has been submitted after the trial has commenced and therefore in view of proviso of Order VI Rule 17 of the CP Code such application is not maintainable.
4. Learned advocate Mr. Ankit Bangera for respondent No.2 has objected the application.
5. Upon perusal of the application, it is found that respondent No.3 just want to correct the typographical error. It is undisputed that name of applicant No.1 is Sonali whereas the name of son is Aashray. In view of the

nature of amendment I am of the firm view that the proviso provided under Order VI Rule 17 of the CPC would not be attracted and therefore the application needs to be allowed. Thus, I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. Respondent No.3 is allowed to correct the names mentioned in the application and after the amendment it shall place on record amended reply on next date.

(Dictated and Pronounced in open Court.)

Panvel,
Dated :- 27/06/2025

(Dinesh E. Kothalikar)
District Judge-2, Panvel-Raigad.