

MHRG170006272025



**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE  
AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

SESSION CASE NO.51/2025

The State of Maharashtra

--- Prosecution

Vs.

Yogesh Yashwant Humane

--- Accused

**Corum** - Santosh C. Shinde

**Date** – 27<sup>th</sup> April 2026

**Appearance-**

Ld. advocate Mr. Ankit Bangera for the accused

Ld. Addl. P. P Smt. Wade for the State.

**Order below exh.9**

1. Heard Ld. advocate Mr. Ankit Bangera for accused & Ld. Addl. P. P Smt. Wade for the State. .
2. Application is filed for regular bail.
3. Notice was issued by my Ld. Predecessor to victim, State of Maharashtra & Investigation Officer. Say is filed at exh.11 & application is strongly objected.
4. Perused charge-sheet.

5. FIR is registered by wife of accused. FIR shows that on Shadi.com website informant was searching for bridegroom for her and in the year 2021, she received request from present accused. He had given proposal of marriage. He had informed that he has no parents and his sister has eloped therefore, she is not traceable. He had informed that he is working in Hitachi Company and resides at Malad.
6. FIR further shows that in the month of May 2021 both met at Neral. Both decided to marry but accused was avoiding to perform marriage. After insistence of informant, on 20<sup>th</sup> June 2022 at Bandra, Mumbai both performed marriage. On pretext of medical treatment accused demanded Rs.1,25,000/- from informant and went at his native place for treatment when that amount was given to him. Thereafter, he was not responding to her calls and for a year, did not return.
7. FIR further shows that on Facebook informant got contact number of sister of accused and when informant contacted her, she came to know that accused is already married man. After one & half year, accused met informant and co-habited with her. His conduct was suspicious. He had told her that he has lost his job and he is indebted.
8. FIR further shows that accused had taken near about Rs,15,00,000/- to 20,00,000/- from informant to satisfy his loans. Mean time they had sexual intercourse. He had also purchased car in name of informant and she was paying car loan installments. It is further alleged that in the month of

November 2024, he assaulted victim and took her gold ornaments without her knowledge, assaulted & threatened her. Thereafter, informant came to know that she is being cheated. She also got knowledge that accused in similar way has cheated other girls. Thereafter, FIR is lodged.

9. Ld. advocate for accused submitted that main allegations against the are about cheating. It is a case of matrimonial dispute and with malicious intentions FIR is lodged against accused. Section 376 & 420 of the IPC is not applicable and its application can be seen at the time of trial. All victims are major. Charge-sheet is filed. Investigation is completed. Accused is behind bars since the year 2024 and his further custody is not required.
10. Ld. Addl. P. P. submitted that accused was already married and he has performed marriage with informant. After marriage with informant, he was residing with his first wife. During that period, he had performed engagement with one girl named Charulata. He has taken loan in the name of informant to purchase car.
11. Ld. Addl. P. P. further submitted that accused has taken her mobile & gold ornaments of informant. He has taken huge amount from her. He has also cheated to one Asmita who has one child from him. Accused had cheated to so many girls and he has antecedents. If he is released on bail, then he may again repeat the offence and he will cheat the innocent girls. Offence is serious in nature.

12. Facts of present case shows that informant in present case & accused are husband & wife. It is alleged that accused by obtaining trust of informant took Rs15,00,000/- to 20,00,000/- from her. He was abusing & threatening her for transferring amount in his bank account. It is further alleged that he was already married but he had not disclosed about his first marriage to informant. During their co-habitation he had sexual intercourse with informant.
13. It is alleged that accused has performed marriage with one Asmita and she has one child from him. After the marriage with informant he was residing with his first wife and meantime, he has performed engagement ceremony with one Charulata. Record shows that at Pantnagar Police Station CR No. 26/2025 for offences punishable u/s.64, 71, 319(2), 89, 82(1), 318(4), 115(2), 351(2), 352 and 85 of the BNS 2023 is registered.
14. Statement of Charulata shows that in the month of October 2024, on Instagram, accused had sent friend request to her and both were talking on mobile phone. In the month of July 2024, there were marriage talks and 17<sup>th</sup> November 2024, was fixed as date of marriage. For marriage function one hall was booked at Dahisar, Mumbai. He had taken one mobile phone on credit card of Charulata. From the month of September 2024, accused stopped his visits to her house. On 18<sup>th</sup> October 2024 complaint was lodged for cheating against him at Charkop Police Station, Kandivali. Later on, she came to know that he is already married.

15. Statement of Asmita shows that she is working in police department and she on Shadi.com website was searching for bridegroom. Accused had sent request to her. Both had met and accused had informed that his parents are dead and his sister has eloped with someone. He had told her that he works in Hitachi Company and resides with friends in Malad. Before marriage, she had given one car to accused by obtaining loan. Thereafter, accused forced her to purchase a two wheeler for him.
16. Statement of Asmita further shows that on 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2021 at Bandra, Mumbai she performed marriage with accused and both were residing in Asmita's quarter. Thereafter, his behaviour changed and he was quarreling with her. He was threatening to kill her. Her statement further shows that she was pregnant from accused and delivered a child. He without informing her & for long time was remaining absent from home.
17. Statement of another victim Sneha shows that she got acquainted with accused on Shadi.com website. In the month of April 2024, marriage talks with accused were going on. He had taken loan of Rs.5,00,000/- on her name as per request of accused and that amount was given to accused. In the month of October 2024, she got message of informant wherein some photographs of accused were sent to her. That time, she got knowledge that accused is a married man.

18. If statement of informant & victims who have fall pray to accused as well as documents on record are considered then it becomes very clear that present accused was using same modus-operandi to cheat innocent ladies. He was extracting money & purchasing vehicle from them by forcing them to obtain loan in their name. He has not paid installments of such loans.
19. Record further shows that when accused was already married, he has performed other marriages. He had also performed engagement ceremony with one lady. Accused has antecedents. From documents filed with charge-sheet it becomes clear that victims have taken loan and accused has taken substantial amount from victims.
20. If nature of offence as well as modus-operandi of accused is considered then offence is more serious. Accused intentionally has committed offence. Considering facts and documents on record, I am of the view that if accused is released on bail then he may repeat offence.
21. Long incarceration cannot be a ground for granting bail. Nature & gravity of the offence cannot be ignored. After considering aforementioned facts & documents on record, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for granting bail. As a result, I pass following order.

### **ORDER**

1. Application is rejected.

2. Registry is directed to inform accused about this order by e-mail.

Application is disposed of accordingly)

Panvel

Date:27/04/2026

**(Mr. S. C. Shinde)**

Special Judge, Panvel

Dist.-Raigad