

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE
AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.185/2026

Sanjay Rajender Sharma --- Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra --- Respondent

Corum - Santosh C. Shinde

Date - 05th March 2026

Appearance-

Ld. advocate Mr. Ankit Bangera for applicant.

Order Below Exh.4

1. Heard Ld. advocate Mr. Ankit Bangera for applicant.
2. Application is filed for interim protection.
3. Ld. advocate for applicant submitted that relationship between victim & applicant was consensual as both were in love for more than 1 year. He had never made any inducement or threats. Victim is mature married adult lady and she has understanding of taking her own decision. This is simple case of breakdown of relationship. Delayed FIR is registered. Applicant has apprehension of arrest therefore, interim protection be given.
4. Perused documents.

5. FIR is registered by victim. FIR shows that victim & applicant came in contact in the year 2023. Both had exchanged their mobile numbers. In the month of June 2025, victim met with an accident and applicant had bore expenses of her treatment. Since, 11th June 2025 both were residing together and they had sexual intercourse.
6. FIR further shows that in the month of September 2025, victim was pregnant but that pregnancy was terminated on say of accused. Thereafter, again victim become pregnant and as per assurance of of marriage by applicant, she decided to deliver the child. On 30th January 2026, applicant left her house and when she contacted her, he informed that he cannot marry her and she can make complaint anywhere. Then, he avoided to pick up her calls & meet her.
7. If facts are considered then applicant & victim both are married. Both were residing in relationship and they had sexual intercourse. First pregnancy of victim was terminated on say of applicant. When for the second time she became pregnant, pregnancy was continued as applicant had given assurance of marriage. Thereafter, applicant has refused to keep that promise.
8. Applicant was married still he has kept physical relations with victim and become cause for her pregnancy. Physical relations were kept by victim as applicant had given assurance of marriage. Prima-facie there is material to show that applicant had given promise of marriage when he was aware that he cannot marry victim as his first marriage as well as first marriage of victim was still in existence.

9. If nature of allegations is considered then allegations are serious in nature. Considering facts of the case and nature of offence, I am of the view that this is not fit case for granting interim protection. As a result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is rejected.
2. Inform concerned police station accordingly.

Panvel
Date -05/03/2026

(S. C. Shinde)
Special Judge, Panvel
Dist.-Raigad