



**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE  
AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.177/2026

Anant Pandurang Darvada @ Anesh --- Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra --- Respondent

**Corum** - Santosh C. Shinde

**Date** - 12<sup>th</sup> March 2026

**Appearance-**

Ld. advocate Mr. Indrajeet Bhosale for the applicant.

Ld. Addl. P. P Smt. Wade for the State of Maharashtra.

Informant in person.

**Order Below Exh.1**

1. Heard Ld. advocate Mr. Indrajeet Bhosale for applicant & Ld. Addl. P. P Wade for the State of Maharashtra.
2. Application is filed for regular bail.
3. Notice was issued to victim, State of Maharashtra & investigation officer. Say is filed at exh.4 and application is strongly objected.
4. Perused FIR, say & documents filed by the parties.

5. FIR is registered by victim. FIR shows that victim was working woman but in the year 2024, she has left her job. In the month of December 2023 on Instagram, she got acquainted with applicant and they were messaging each other. Thereafter, they become friends. On 18<sup>th</sup> May 2025, for the first time they met in one mall at Panvel. Thereafter, their friendship converted in love. Applicant had given proposal of marriage to victim.
6. FIR shows that on 12<sup>th</sup> September 2025, applicant had asked her to come with him for trip but she had refused. On 14<sup>th</sup> September 2024, applicant had called her at Panvel Railway Station and thereafter, took her on one lodge where on promise of marriage, he committed sexual intercourse with her. That time, she had checked his mobile and asked why he had not taken group photos when he had gone with friends for trip therefore, applicant got annoyed and said that he do not intent to marry her and not to talk with him for one month. He threatened her, forced her to clear their chats and left. He thereafter blocked her contact number. Meantime victim attempted to contact him but he did not respond therefore, FIR is registered.
7. Ld. advocate for applicant submitted that victim & applicant were in contact for two years. Last incident has occurred on in the month of September 2025 and delayed FIR is registered. They met voluntarily and went together on lodge. There is no allegation of physical force. Complaint is consequence of personal disagreement & emotional distress. Investigation is

completed. Further custody is not required. Applicant has no antecedents and he has ready to abide by any condition.

8. Ld. Addl. P. P submitted that offence is serious in nature. On promise of marriage applicant has committed sexual intercourse. It was not consensual sex. Investigation is in progress. Applicant may tamper the evidence and threaten the witnesses.
9. Victim submitted that bail should not be granted to applicant as he had cheated her and committed sexual intercourse.
10. Facts shows that in the year 2023, victim & applicant came in contact through Instagram and become friends. Thereafter, there friendship converted in love. In the month of May 2025 & August 2025, both had met each other.
11. It is alleged that that applicant had given proposal of marriage to victim and on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2025, he had called her at Panvel Railway Station and thereafter, took her on one lodge where on pretext of marriage, he committed sexual intercourse with her. When victim checked mobile phone of applicant, he got annoyed and refused to marry her. It is further alleged that he threatened her made her to clear chats between both. Thereafter, there was no contact between both.
12. If age of victim is considered then she is major and she was working woman. Applicant is also major. Both were in relationship since the month of December 2022. On multiple occasions they have met each other. Both had developed

romantic relationship which turned sour when mobile phone of applicant was checked by the victim.

13. In FIR, it is not mentioned that forced was used on victim. Incident of sexual intercourse has occurred on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2025 and FIR is registered on 29<sup>th</sup> January 2026. Reason for delay is not mentioned in FIR. Applicant was arrested on 25<sup>th</sup> February 2026 and since then he is behind bars. No recovery or discovery is pending. Most of the investigation is completed. Bail is rule and jail is exception. Object of bail cannot be ignored. Bail cannot be withheld as punishment.
14. It will take time to commence & conclude the trial therefore it would not be proper to keep applicant behind bars for indefinite period otherwise it will amount to pre-trial conviction. Applicant can be released on bail by imposing certain conditions. As a result, I pass the following order.

### **ORDER**

1. Application is allowed.
2. Applicant Anant Pandurang Darvada @ Anesh be released on furnishing PB & SB of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with one or two sureties in like amount in crime No.26/2026 registered at Khandeshwar Police Station, Navi Mumbai for offences punishable u/s.69 & 351(2) of the BNS, 2023, if he is not required in any other offence.

3. Applicant by any mode of communication shall not contact to victim or her family members and till further orders, he shall not enter the area where victim & her family members ordinarily reside or works for gain.
4. Applicant shall not, directly & indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts & circumstances of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the police & Court.
5. Applicant shall not leave India without previous permission of the Trial Court.
6. Applicant shall furnish his permanent & temporary residential proof, valid e-mail ID and mobile number.
7. Registry is directed to inform applicant about this order by e-mail.
8. Bail before Ld. Magistrate.

(Application is disposed of accordingly)

Panvel  
Date - 12/03/2026

**(Mr. S. C. Shinde)**  
Special Judge, Panvel,  
Dist.-Raigad