

MHRG170003682026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS  
JUDGE AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

**Criminal Bail Application No. 174/2026**

|                             |              |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Vakil Bali Mohammad</b>  | ---Applicant |
| <b>Vs.</b>                  |              |
| <b>State of Maharashtra</b> | --- Opponent |

**Appearance-**

Ld. advocate Shri. Ankit Bangera for applicant.

Ld. Addl. P P Shri.Rajendra Yerunkar for State.

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1**

1. This is an application filed by applicant **Vakil Bali Mohammad** under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (in short "BNSS") for grant of bail.
2. I.O. has filed say to this application at Exh.4 and thereby strongly opposed the application.
3. Perused application, say thereon and documents produced on the record. Heard both sides.
4. Applicant was arrested on 26/01/2026 in connection of Matheran Police Station C.R.No. 1/2026 for the offences

punishable under Section 309(4), 331(4) of the BNS. Applicant was remanded into Magistrate custody on 07/02/2026.

5. Ld. Advocate for applicant submitted that, applicant is innocent and has not committed any offence. Offence is not punishable with death or imprisonment of life. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. Nothing is to be recovered at the instance of the present applicant. Applicant is sole bread winner of her family. No purpose will be served by keeping the applicant behind the bars for indefinite period. He is ready to co-operate the investigating agency. The applicant is ready to obey any condition to be imposed by the Court.
6. Ld. Addl.PP. Shri. Rajendra Yerunkar submitted that offence is of serious nature. Absconded accused is yet to be arrested. Stolen amount yet to be recovered. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, it is not proper to release applicant on bail. Hence, prosecution prayed for rejection of bail.
7. Perused FIR and documents placed on record. On 16/01/2026, at 9.00pm., informant Narayan Maruti Kadam was in his shop at Matheran. His wife was doing household work in kitchen. At that time one short person, who was wearing saffron clothes came to informant and asked cigarette. Three unknown persons came behind him. Out of which one was wearing chocolate colour shirt

entered in the house, put his hand on mouth of informant's wife and showed her knife and demanded cash and gold. One person who was wearing white shirt and other who was in jerking and muffler came to informant and dragged him from his shop into house. They tied hands and feet of the informant and his wife on *kot* by towel and muffler. Rs.70,000/- cash and gold ornaments were stolen away by using force and violence. They also gave threat to the informant that if inform to police, they will come back and kill the informant and his wife. Therefore, informant lodged report to Matheran police station.

8. During investigation the identity of the present applicant and co-accused was established on the basis of CCTV camera of hotel and lodging situated within limit of Matheran police station. After viewing CCTV footage, the informant identified the applicant and co-accused. Co-accused Rohit Singh and Shivamsagar were working in Hotel Royal. As per statement of Manager of the said hotel on 16/01/2026 at 6.00a.m., both these accused left for their native. On inquiry with owner of Baliram Cottage, it was revealed that the present applicant and co-accused stayed in the said Lodge. On the basis of this technical investigation, applicant was arrested from Aligad, Uttar Pradesh on 26/01/2026. Crime weapons and stolen gold ornaments were recovered. However, co-accused Rohit Singh is still absconding. Yet the stolen cash

amount is not recovered. There is possible recovery of the said cash from the present applicant. The applicant was taken in MCR on 07/02/2026. However, Investigating officer submitted that he has filed report before Ld. J.M.F.C., Karjat for taking custody of the applicant from jail for further remand. If bail is granted it would hamper the further investigation. Hence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. As a result, I pass following order.

**:: ORDER ::**

1. Application is rejected.
2. Inform the concerned police station and jail authority, accordingly.

Panvel,

(S. R. Chavan)

Dated :- 09/03/2026 Addl. Sessions Judge Panvel, Dist.-Raigad