

MHRG170003512026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE  
AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

**Criminal Bail Application No. 169/2026**

**Suraj Tatyaram Saikar** --- Applicant

**Vs.**

**State of Maharashtra** --- Opponent

**Appearance-**

Ld. advocate Shri. V.K. Nagare for Applicant.

Ld. Addl. P P Shri. Y.S. Bhopi for State.

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1**

- 1] This is an application filed by the applicant **Suraj Tatyaram Saikar** under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (in short "BNSS") for grant of bail.
- 2] I.O. has filed say to this application at Exh.5 and thereby strongly opposed the application.
- 3] Perused application, say thereon and documents produced on the record. Heard both sides.

- 4] Applicant was arrested on 29/11/2025 in connection of Khandeshwar Police Station C.R.No 169/2025 for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) read with 3(5) of the BNS and section 66-D of the Information Technology Act.
- 5] Ld. Advocate for applicant submitted that the applicant has not committed any offence. The applicant has not personally contacted the informant. Co-accused is on bail. The investigation is completed. Charge-sheet has been filed. The longer custody of the applicant is not necessary. Applicant is ready to obey any conditions to be imposed by the Court.
- 6] Ld. Addl.PP. Shri. Y.S. Bhopi submitted that, the offence is of serious nature. The applicant is beneficiary of Rs.4,00,000/- out of cheated amount. There is active involvement of the present applicant in the commission of the crime. The applicant has criminal antecedent of offence of similar nature. So, considering nature and gravity of the offence applicant is not entitled for bail. Hence, prosecution prayed for rejection of application.
- 7] Perused F.I.R. and documents placed on record. Informant Smt. Heena Rohit Khona has bank account No.6394258152 at Indian Bank, New Panvel. The account was linked to Google Pay App. On 08/02/2025, she was added in one group on Telegram App. Sanjivini Gupta of

the said group made message to complete the task. Accordingly, after completion of task the informant initially received Rs.208/-. Thereafter, she received Rs.1,020/-, 1526/- after completing the task. The informant was told to invest more amount for getting more returns on completing task. As per the directions of the Sanjivini Gupta on 09/02/2025 informant deposited Rs.7,100/-. However, the informant was informed that his amount was kept on hold, because had deposited the said amount in wrong account number. The informant was told to deposit further amount of Rs.32,632/- for releasing the amount kept on hold. Accordingly, the informant deposited the amount. Thereafter, she was also induced to deposit Rs.98,000/-. Thereafter, again she deposited Rs.1,65,000/- from his father's account by NEFT. Then again she was induced to deposit Rs.4,64,888/-. Thereafter, again deposited Rs.6,20,000/-. However, she did not get back his deposited amount. Hence, she realized that she was cheated by Sanjivini Gupta and other persons. Therefore, informant lodged report to Khandeshwar police station.

- 8] During investigation name of present applicant is revealed. He is beneficiary of Rs. 4,00,000/-. The applicant is in custody since 29/11/2025. The applicant has antecedent of similar nature of offence. Pimpari

Chinchvad Police station CR.No.28/2025 for the offence punishable under Section 318(4), 316(2) read with 3(5) of the BNS and 66-D of the Information Technology Act is pending against the present applicant. The applicant was also accused in another case at Kolhapur. There is possibility of the repetition of the crime. The offence is of economic nature. However, investigation is completed. Charge-sheet has been filed. Co-accused is on bail. Hence, further custody of the applicant is not required. Therefore, if the applicant is released on bail by imposing certain stringent conditions, it would serve the purpose of justice. Hence, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. As a result, I pass following order.

**:: ORDER ::**

1. Application is allowed.
2. Applicant- **Suraj Tatyaram Saikar** be released on furnishing P.B. and S.B. of Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand Only) with one or two surety in like amount in Khandeshwar Police Station C.R.No.169/2025 for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) read with 3(5) of the BNS and section 66-D of the Information Technology Act.
3. Applicant shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.
4. Applicant shall not directly and indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to

any person acquainted with facts and circumstances of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the police and Court.

5. Applicant shall furnish his permanent and temporary residential proof, valid e-mail ID and mobile number.
6. Applicant shall attend the concerned police station on every Sunday in between 10.00 AM to 12.00 PM till conclusion of the trial.
7. Applicant shall not commit similar offence.
8. If applicant fails to observe any of the condition of the bail, concerned police station is at liberty to apply for the cancellation of bail.
9. Bail before Ld. J.M.F.C.
10. Inform the concerned police station and jail authority, accordingly.

Panvel,  
Dated :- 18/03/2026

(S. R. Chavan)  
Addl. Sessions Judge  
Panvel, Dist.-Raigad