

MHRG170003012026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE ,
PANVEL-RAIGAD
ORDER BELOW EXH.01 IN CRI. BAIL APPLN. NO.147/2026

1. **Suhas Uttam Dhanve,**
Age-38 yrs., Occu. Ass. Professor,
R/at- 3/7/503, Celebration, Vastu Vihar,
Navi Mumbai, Sector 16/17, Kharghar,
Navi Mumbai.
2. **Uttam Hasu Dhanve**
Age-69 yrs., Occu. Retired,
3. **Rajashree Uttam Dhanve**
Age-62 yrs., Occu. Housewife
R/at- At post Ghomalwada, Shirur Kasar,
Dist-Beed, Pin- 423249.
4. **Priyanka Sidhant Randive**
Age-34 yrs., Occu. Housewife
R/at- Flat No.1401, Sidheshwar Height,
Station Road, Titwala (w), Pin-421605. ...**APPLICANTs**

Verses.

The State of Maharashtra,
Through Kharghar Police Station. ...**RESPONDENT**

The learned advocate for applicant : Mr. Sagar Vakale

The learned A.P.P. for State : Mr. A. S. Thakur

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.

(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)
ORDER BELOW EXH.1

1. By this application, the applicants who were apprehending arrest have prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of the BNSS in C. R. No.27/2026 registered with Kharghar Police Station, Navi Mumbai for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 86, 316(2), 318(4), 115(2), 351(3), 352, r/w 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. Ld. advocate Mr. Sagar Vakale for the applicants has submitted that applicant No.4 does not reside with applicants Nos.1 to 3. Further, according to him applicants No.2 and 3 are old aged persons. He would further submit that there was marital discord between the parties and that on the very date on which the informant had left company of applicant No.1, she had carried the Stridhan articles with her and therefor, he would submit that there is no need for custodial interrogation of the applicants. Thus, it is prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.
3. Ld. P. P Mr. A. S. Thakur has submitted that there are serious allegations against the applicants in respect of commission of the matrimonial offence. He would further submit that there are specific allegations against the applicants that they have misappropriated the Sridhan of

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.

the informant and that if pre-arrest bail is claimed by the applicants is granted, the investigation would be hampered. Thus, it is prayed for rejection of the application.

4. Ld. advocate Smt. Madhuri Shelar for the informant has opposed the application on the ground that there are allegations against the applicants in respect of misappropriation of the Sridhan ornaments and that investigation in the crime is at a criminal stage and therefore, according to her, if bail has prayed by the applicants is granted, there are chances that they would pressurize the prosecution witnesses. Thus, it is prayed for rejection of the application.
5. In the light of the submissions advanced before me. I have gone through the copy report dated 19/12/2025 by which informant Sanvi Suhas Dhanve had alleged that her marriage had taken place with applicant No.1 and out of the said wedlock she had begotten a daughter. According to her, while she was residing with the applicants she was mentally and physically harassed by the applicants on account of failure to comply with the demand of cash amount and car. It has been further alleged that applicant No.1 forcibly had sexual intercourse with her and that there was miscarriage against her wish. According to her,

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.

the Sridhan weighing 56 Tola gold is in the custody of the applicant and therefore, she has lodged report.

6. So far as the contentions raised by both the parties on the point of Sridhan is concerned, it is appears that there is word against word. Therefore, in my view custodial interrogation with applicant No.1 is necessary. In my view if pre-arrest bail as claimed by applicant No.1 is granted, certainly it would hamper investigation. Therefore, I am of the view that no case is made out by applicant No.1 for grant of pre-arrest bail.
7. So far as the role attributed to applicants No.2 to 4 is concerned in my view upon bare perusal of the report it would revealed that there are vague allegations against them. In the light of this and the punishment provided for the alleged offence, in my view it would be just to exercise discretion in favour of applicants No.2 to 4.
8. Thus, I conclude that present application needs to be allowed to the extent of applicants No.2 to 4 and it deserves to be rejected in respect of applicant No.1. Consequently, I proceed to pass following order.

:: ORDER ::

- 1) The application is partly allowed.
- 2) The Claim made by applicant No.1 is hereby rejected.

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.

- 3) In the event of arrest, applicants namely **2.** Uttam Hasu Dhanve, **3.** Rajashree Uttam Dhanve and **4.** Priyanka Sidhant Randive in Crime No.27/2026 registered with Kharghar Police Station, Navi Mumbai for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 86, 316(2), 318(4), 115(2), 351(3), 352, r/w 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, are ordered to be released on bail on they executing P.B. and S. B. of Rs.15,000/- (Rs. Fifteen Thousand Only) in the like amount.
- 4) The applicants shall attend Kharghar Police Station, Navi Mumbai on 12/03/2026 between 9.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and thereafter as and when required by the Investigation Officer by a written summons.
- 5) Needless to say that if the applicants do not extend co-operation to the Investigation Agency, the bail granted in their favour shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 6) Applicants shall not directly or indirectly pressurize the informant and prosecution witnesses.
- 7) Applicants shall submit a list of at least 3 blood/nearest relatives with their detail residential addresses and also the addresses of their place of work, if any and documentary proof of showing the correctness of the details produced by them.
- 8) Applicants and the surety as a condition for grant of bail

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.

must necessarily inform the police authorities as well as this Court, change of their residential address, while applicants on bail.

- 9) Applicants shall submit copies of at least two documents amongst the Passport, PAN Card, Aadhar Card, Ration Card, Electricity bill or Voter Identity Card issued by the Election Commission of India.
- 10) After submission of these two documents the concerned Police Station shall conduct physical verification of the residential address so as to confirm the address appearing on these documents and place before the Court a certificate to that effect under the signature of the S.H.O.
- 11) Applicants shall not misuse discretion which has been used by this court in their favour.
- 12) Inform to concern Police Station.

(Dictated and Pronounced in open Court.)

Place : Panvel.

Date : 09/03/2026

(Dinesh E. Kothalikar)

**Additional Sessions Judge, Court No.2,
Panvel Dist. Raigad.**

Dinesh E. Kothalikar,
District Judge-2 and ASJ,
Panvel-Raigad.