

MHRG170002732026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS  
JUDGE AT PANVEL, DISTRICT- RAIGAD**

**Criminal Bail Application No. 130/2026**

**Akhtar Habib Baitha** --- Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**State of Maharashtra** --- Opponent

**Appearance-**

Ld. advocate Shri. Ankit Bangera for applicant.  
Ld. Addl. P P Shri.A.R. Kadam for State.

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1**

1. This is an application filed by applicant **Akhtar Habib Baitha** under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (in short "BNSS") for grant of bail.
2. I.O. has filed say to this application at Exh.5 and thereby strongly opposed the application.
3. Perused application, say thereon and documents produced on the record. Heard both sides.

4. Ld. Advocate for applicant submitted that, applicant is innocent and has not committed any offence. Applicant is falsely implicated in this crime. Investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been filed. Further detention of the applicant unwarranted. No purpose will be served by keeping the applicant in jail for indefinite period without trial. He is ready to co-operate the investigating agency. The applicant is ready to obey any condition to be imposed by the Court.
5. Ld. Addl.PP Shri. A.R. Kadam submitted that applicant was absconded, he was arrested from Bihar. The offence is serious in nature. If the applicant is released on bail, he would not be available for trial and he would pressurize the prosecution witnesses. Therefore, prayed to reject the application.
6. On perusal of FIR it appears that informant Kamlesh Kumar Sadanand Singh is original resident of Bihar State. He is presently residing at Dahivali village, Post Sajgaon, Tal. Khalapur, District- Raigad. He was working in Max Spare Company as a worker. His relative Paras Harihar Singh was also working in the said company. Paras Singh was also staying with informant. However, from four to five days before the incident Paras Singh left informant's room for staying with applicant at Devnhave village. On 10/09/2025, at 5.00p.m. informant received phone call of Paras Singh. Informant was informed that Paras Singh

was going to his native and applicant will drop him at railway station. Thereafter, at 1.00a.m. during night Radhakumari made phone call to informant. She informed that there was quarrel between Paras Singh and applicant and in the said quarrel Paras Singh became unconscious. Thereafter, informant informed Radhakumari that he would come in the morning. On 11/09/2025, at about 6.00a.m. to 7.00a.m. informant reached at Devnhave village. He saw that Paras Singh was lying in unconscious state in front of tin-shade. Paras Singh sustained injury on the head. Blood was oozing from head injury, mouth and ear. People were gathered there. Paras Singh was taken to Khopoli Municipal Council Hospital. At about 12.45p.m. doctor declared death of Paras Singh. Applicant quarrelled with deceased for unknown reason. He caused grievous head injury to the deceased. Applicant ran away without providing medical aid to the deceased. Applicant caused death of deceased by causing grievous head injury. Therefore, informant lodged report to Khopoli Police Station C.R.No. 224/2025 for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) of the BNS.

7. During investigation it was revealed that accused caused head injury to deceased with stone and thereby intentionally caused death. Applicant had taken hand loan of Rs.6,000/- from the deceased. When deceased demanded the said amount applicant committed murder

of the deceased. After committing murder accused ran away from the spot of incident. He was arrested from Bihar near Nepal border. During custody stone was recovered at the instance of the accused. Statement of witnesses show that before the incident on 10/09/2025 applicant went to railway station with deceased along with luggage of deceased. Statements of witnesses show that there was quarrel between applicant and deceased. Facts and circumstances of the case prima facie shows that applicant has committed murder of deceased Paras Singh. The offence is of serious in nature. If applicant is released on bail, there is possibility of absconding of applicant and pressurizing the prosecution witnesses. Hence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. As a result, I pass following order.

**:: ORDER ::**

1. Application is rejected.
2. Inform the concerned police station and jail authority, accordingly.

Panvel,

(S. R. Chavan)

Dated :-31/03/2026

Addl. Sessions Judge Panvel, Dist.-Raigad