

: ORDER BELOW EXH.3 IN SESSIONS CASE NO.7/2026 :
(CNR No. MHRG15-000098-2026)
(Passed on 20.03.2026)

1) This is an application seeking a regular bail, made by accused **Sufiyan Hanif Pore**, concerning the offence punishable under section 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short, "B.N.S."), in Crime No.102/2025 (Sessions Case No.7/2026) registered with Mahad City Police Station.

2) It is contended that the accused did not commit any offence. He has falsely been implicated in the crime with an ulterior motive. Charge-sheet has been presented. Therefore, further detention of the accused is not necessary. The informant has been discharged from hospital. The accused is the only earning member in the family. He is ready to abide by all the conditions. It is submitted to allow the application.

3) The Investigating Officer and the Ld. A.P.P. for the State, opposed the application by filing say (Exhs.5 and 6). It is contended that while the informant was at the house of his female friend, the accused who is an Ex-husband of that woman, demanded the informant as to why he went there. Thereafter, with intention to kill the informant, the accused inflicted knife blows in the face, neck, chest, abdomen and upper limbs of the informant, thereby causing him grievous injury.

4) The application is opposed on the grounds that the

offence is serious, charge-sheet has been presented to the Court, there is possibility of tampering the evidence. The accused and the informant being from different communities, possibility of disturb to Law and Order, would not be ruled out. It is submitted to reject the application.

5) Heard both sides and perused the record. Ld. Advocate Mr. K. V. Dhamankar for accused, and the Ld. A.PP. Mr. Y. A. Tendulkar for the State, iterated the contentions made in the application and say, respectively.

6) Ld. Advocate for accused would submit that the accused did not commit any offence. Possibility of feelings of an Ex-husband might have been hurt. There are no criminal antecedents against the accused. He has been in the custody from 25.09.2025. He is ready to abide by all the conditions.

7) The Ld. A.PP. would submit that the mode and manner in which the accused committed the offence, does not justify release of the accused on bail. It is submitted that possibility of committing similar offence and threatening the witnesses, cannot be ruled out. Hence, the application may be rejected.

8) It is seen that the report mentions dare-devilry of the accused of banging the door of the room of his Ex-wife, causing grievous hurt to the informant by means of knife. Such type of offences have been increasing rapidly. There is *prima facie* material against the accused in respect of his involvement in

serious crime. It is also to be considered that the accused has been in the custody for almost 06 months and charge-sheet has been presented. No criminal antecedents have been pointed out against him.

9) It is a harsh reality that there are more than 100 Under Trial Prisoners alleged of committing serious crimes have been waiting for hearing in their respective cases in this Court. It is not likely that this matter could be taken expeditiously and decided in near future.

10) No doubt, the Ld. A.P.P. expressed the fear of accused threatening the witnesses and committing similar offence. That can be taken care of by imposing stringent conditions.

11) All other aspects need not be gone into detail at this stage. All in all, the accused is entitled to be released on bail on certain conditions. Hence, the following order:-

: O R D E R :

- 1) The application is allowed.
- 2) Applicant-accused **Sufiyan Hanif Pore**, concerning the offence punishable under section 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short, "B.N.S."), in Crime No.102/2025 (Sessions Case No.7/2026) registered with Mahad City

Police Station, be released on Personal Bond of Rs.60,000/- (Rs. Sixty thousand only), with a solvent surety in the like amount, on the following conditions:-

- (a) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any of the witnesses and shall not tamper the prosecution evidence.
- (b) He shall not enter the places where the informant and the Ex-wife of the accused have been residing and keep himself away at least 50 k.m. from the radius of said places, till decision of this case or passing of further order, whichever is earlier.
- (d) If for reaching to the Court and Police Station and going away, the entry into 50 k.m. from the places where the informant and the Ex-wife of the accused have been residing is required, then only he is allowed to travel within radius of 50 k.m. After marking his presence at police station and the Court, as required, the accused shall immediately go away and strictly comply with the conditions, as mentioned above.
- (e) He shall furnish his detail address, contact number, names and addresses of two relatives with their phone numbers.

- (f) He shall not commit any offence.
- (g) He shall not leave India without permission of this Court.

Mangaon.
Date: 20.03.2026.

(S. T. Bhalerao)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Mangaon, Dist. Raigad.