



**Sessions Case No.3/2026**  
State of Maharashtra Vs.  
Dipali Ashok Nirgude & Ors.  
CNR No.MHRG150000542026

**ORDER PASSED BELOW EXH.04**

1) The present application has been filed by the accused **No.3 Supriya Prakash Chaudhari** u/s.483 of BNSS, 2023 for regular bail in the present case for offences punishable u/s.103(1), 238, 61(2), 140(1), 324(4), 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2) Prosecution case in brief is that, accused no. 1 and deceased are husband and wife. Accused no. 1 has love affairs with accused no.2 and they wants to marry with each other. Hence, accused No.1 to 3 conspired together to eliminate deceased. As per said conspiracy accused No.3 prepared fake Instagram ID by name Payal Wargude and sent messages to deceased. Accused no.3 trapped deceased in fake love. Further, accused No.3 did voice call to deceased and called deceased on 10.10.2025 at 10.00 hours at Nagothane S.T. stand. Thereafter, as per conspiracy took deceased on motorcycle between accused No.2 & 3 to the Vosgaon forest, within Nagothane area. Where accused No.2 strangulated throat of deceased by using scarf and put him hard down on back and head till his movement was stopped. Thereafter, again tied shoe lace around deceased neck and tightened it till death of deceased was confirmed. After that accused No.2, put some type of chemical on face and chest of deceased in order to prevent identification of dead body. At that time, accused no.3 kept watched on passerby. Thereafter, accused took mobile phone of deceased with them, removed its

sim card and thrown it in the Pali area and also broke mobile phone of accused.

3) Ld. Advocate Smt. Chavhan for accused no.3 submitted that, Prosecution case based on “*last seen theory*” but there is no circumstantial evidence to corroborate a prosecution case. There is nothing on record how accused no.2 has brought chemical and how he has disposed of it. Further, no stains of chemical were found on the clothes of accused and scarf which was allegedly used for strangulating deceased. Accused no.3 has not prepared any fake account and did not made any voice call to deceased. Further submitted that, accused no.3 is resident of Nashik District, hence there is no chances of tampering with prosecution witnesses. In addition, submitted that accused no.3 is in her adolescent age, taking education and have such potential to take better education. Her career is hampered as she is in jail since her arrest i.e. 16.10.2025. In support of contention relied on judgment of the Hon’ble Bombay High Court in the case of **Tajas Shamsundar Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra, 2025 (2) CPMH 7**, wherein bail has been granted to accused in murder case considering he is adolescent and taking education.

4) Investigation officer filed say(Exh.05) through Ld. A.P.P. Shri. Tendulkar and strongly opposed bail application. It is submitted that, accused has conspired together to kill deceased. The offence is serious in nature and punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The accused were last seen together with

deceased in the CCTV footages at one shop while doing breakfast and in another CCTV footages while moving with the deceased on the motorcycle and also at a shop while purchasing scarf and handkerchief. Accused no. 3 had performed major role as per conspiracy. Thereby prepared fake Instagram account, trapped deceased in fake love, made voice call and called deceased at Nagothane bus stand. Thereafter, alongwith accused no. 2 took deceased to forest and killed him with the help of scarf. Then in order to destroyed evidence put some type of chemical on his body and also took his mobile phone and thrown it to distant place. Further submitted that the grounds of taking education is not valid as date of CET exam was already gone. The murder is preplanned and gruesome. There is evidence against the accused in the charge-sheet which shows involvement of accused. Hence, accused no.3 does not entitle to be released on bail at this stage. Thus, prayed for rejection of bail application.

5) Heard both the sides, perused application, say and charge-sheet.

6) It appears that alleged murder is pre-planned and committed with motive. As part of conspiracy accused no. 3 has prepared fake Instagram account and trapped deceased in fake love. Thereafter, called him by doing voice call and took him away with accused no.2. Both accused no.2 & 3 were spotted alongwith deceased at three different places in the CCTV footages. They had been identified during T.I. parade by eye

witness who had seen them at Nagothane S.T. stand, by hotel owner where they did breakfast and by shop owner from whose shop they had purchased scarf and handkerchief. Thus, there is material in the charge-sheet indicating direct involvement of accused no.3 in the offence. The manner in which deceased was eliminated is appears to be well planned. Further, manner of disposing dead body is gruesome. Though, accused is 19 years old and intends to pursue her education, but the manner in which alleged offence had been committed and material in the charge-sheet against her can not be ignored. The age of accused can not be a ground to grant bail when accusation disclosed involvement in well planned and brutal offence of murder. The judgment cited(supra) is not helpful because in that case alleged murder was committed due to grave provocation which is not case here. Though, investigation is completed and charge-sheet has been filed, there is material in the charge-sheet showing direct involvement of the accused in the offence. Thus, considering gravity of the offence and the nature of evidence available on record this Court is of the view that, it would not be appropriate to release accused on bail at this stage. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

**::ORDER::**

The bail application(Exh.04) is rejected.

Sd/-

Mangaon.  
Date: 13.03.2026.

(M. K. Patil)  
Addl. Session Judge, Mangaon.