

**Order passed below Exhibit 11 in CMA No. 224 of 2025**

Tanvi Sonu Mangalpaili

Applicant

v.

Nil

Non-applicant

1. The applicant has filed this amendment application to correct the typographical error in the earlier M.A number in the application. It is submitted that there are typographical errors such as instead of M.A number 57/2018 and 46/2018 has been mentioned.
2. There are no non-applicants.
3. Considering the application, following points arise for my determination and I record my findings thereon.

Sr. No	Points for determination	Findings
1	Whether the proposed amendment needs to be allowed ?	Yes
2	What Order ?	Application is allowed

**::Reasons::**

**Point No. 1 and 2 : Both the points, being inter-related, are being dealt together.**

4. Heard the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.
5. There is nothing on record to oppose this present application. This application seeks to correct the earlier M.A number in the suit

property. It is submitted that there are typographical errors.

6. Considering the above fact, clarification in the earlier M.A number in the suit property is necessary for the purpose of determining the real question in the controversy between the parties. If the amendment is not allowed it will lead to multiplicity of proceeding. If the amendment application is allowed no prejudice would be caused to any one.
7. Therefore, it is necessary to allow the application to correct earlier M.A number in the application to enable the court to adjudicate upon and settle all the questions involved in the application. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following order:

### ORDER

1. Application at Exhibit 11 is allowed.
2. The applicants shall carry out the amendment as stated in Exhibit 11 within 14 days from the date of this order and then place amended application and it's copies on record.
3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Place : Uran

Date : 10.03.2026

(Ms. G.K.R. Tandon,)

2<sup>nd</sup> Jt. Civil Judge, Junior Division  
Uran