

**COMMON ORDER BELOW BAIL APPLICATIONS OF ACCUSED NO.1 TO 3 IN
RCC NO.65/2016.**

Perused applications filed by the applicant/accused nos.1 to 3 for bail. Perused say filed by the Ld. A.P.P. Heard both the sides.

2) It is alleged that accused committed offences punishable u/s 407, 468 r/w 34 of Indian Penal Code.

3) First bail application was rejected. Thereafter, chargesheet is filed. After filing of chargesheet these bail applications are filed.

4) It is alleged that accused committed offences punishable under sections 407, 468 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The alleged offences are non bailable, but triable by the Magistrate. Ld advocate for the accused submitted that there is change in circumstances. Investigation is complete. Chargesheet is filed. Hence, prayed for bail.

5) But on perusal of FIR, statement of witnesses and panchanamas, prima facie it appears that there is involvement of the accused in the crime. Muddemal of Rs.2,17,40,273/- seized during the investigation. The chargesheet is filed. Investigation is complete. But prima facie it appears that accused are involved in the crime. Charge is not framed. No material witnesses are examined. In such circumstances, if the accused are released on bail, there is possibility that they will flee from justice. Therefore, considering the above reasons, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

Bail applications are rejected.

Uran,
Date : 23-08-2016.

(A.B.Chavan)
Judicial Magistrate, F.C. Uran.