

Order passed below Exhibit 21 in R.D No. 7 of 2014

Ramesh Shankarmal Pilani

Decree Holder

v.

Ramchandra Kala Mhatre & another

Judgement debtor

1. The Decree Holder has filed this amendment application stating that he has filed the present case to execute the judgement in RCS no.150/2012 against the defendants for specific performance of the agreement. While the darkhast was pending, the name of the Decree Holder's company has been changed from Ganesh Investment & Financial Technics Private Limited to GI Corporate Advisors Private Limited. Therefore, the name of the plaintiff's company needs to be added.
2. Judgement debtor have not filed their say.
3. Considering the application, following points arise for my determination and I record my findings thereon.

Sr. No	Points for determination	Findings
1	Whether the proposed amendment needs to be allowed ?	Yes
2	What Order ?	Application is allowed

::Reasons::

Point No. 1 and 2 : Both the points, being inter-related, are being dealt together.

4. Heard the Ld. Advocate for the decree holder.

5. Ld. advocate for the decree holder has argued that while the darkhast is pending, the name of the decree holder's company has been changed from Ganesh Investment & Financial Technics Private Limited to GI Corporate Advisors Private Limited. Therefore, the name of the plaintiff's company needs to be added. It will not change the nature of the darkhast.
6. It appears that the details with respect to change in name of the decree holder's company needs to be mentioned.
7. The details with respect to the change in name of the decree holder's company will not change the nature of darkhast. Considering the above fact, the said amendments are necessary. If the amendment is not allowed it will lead to multiplicity of proceeding. If the amendment application is allowed, no prejudice would be caused to any one.
8. Therefore, it is necessary to allow the application to enable the court to adjudicate upon and settle all the questions involved in the darkhast. Accordingly, I answer point no.1 in affirmative and in answer to point no.2, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. Application at Exhibit 21 is allowed.
2. The decree holder shall carry out the amendment as stated in Exhibit 21 within 14 days from the date of this order and then place amended application and it's copies on record.

3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Place : Uran
Date : 10.03.2026

(Ms. G.K.R. Tandon,
2nd Jt. Civil Judge, Junior Division
Uran