

Order passed below Exhibit 134 in RCS No. 26 of 2021

1. The plaintiffs have filed this amendment application stating that defendant no.18 has passed away and his legal heirs have been brought on record. However, inadvertently, before the name of defendant no.18, the word 'deceased' is left to be added. Hence they may be allowed to carry out the said amendment.
2. The other defendants have given no objection.
3. Considering the application, following points arise for my determination and I record my findings thereon.

Sr. No	Points for determination	Findings
1	Whether the proposed amendment needs to be allowed ?	Yes
2	What Order ?	Application is allowed

::Reasons::

Point No. 1 and 2 : Both the points, being inter-related, are being dealt together.

4. Heard the Ld. Advocate for the plaintiffs and defendants
5. There is nothing on record to oppose this present application. The defendant no.18 has passed away and his legal heirs have been brought on record. However, inadvertently, before the name of defendant no.18, the word 'deceased' is left to be added.
6. Considering the above fact, clarification in the status of deceased

defendant no.18 is necessary for the purpose of determining the real question in the controversy between the parties. If the amendment is not allowed it will lead to multiplicity of proceeding. If the amendment application is allowed no prejudice would be caused to any one.

7. Therefore, it is necessary to allow the application to enable the court to adjudicate upon and settle all the questions involved in the application. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. Application at Exhibit 134 is allowed.

2. The applicants shall carry out the amendment as stated in Exhibit 134 within 14 days from the date of this order and then place amended application and its copies on record.

3. No order as to costs.

Place : Uran
Date : 24.09.2024

(Ms. G.K.R. Tandon,
Jt. Civil Judge, Junior Division
Court No.2, Uran