

M.No. 176/2024 (IA no. 133/2024) in CC No. 61/2022
RC no. RC2242022A0001, CBI AC-VI (SIT), New Delhi.
CBI Vs. Kapil Wadhawan & Others
u/s 120-B, 206, 409, 411, 420, 424, 465, 468, 477A IPC &
Sections 11, 13 (2) r/w Section 13(1)(d) of PC Act
(Application on behalf of applicant/accused no. 87 Nikhil Mansukhani for compliance
of order dated 28.10.2024).

20.11.2024

Present: >Sh. Anupam S. Sharrma, Spl. PP joined through
VC whereas Sh. Syamantak Modgill, Advocate
appeared in the court for CBI.
>Sh. Varun Sharma and Sh. Nikhil Mehta,
Advocates for the applicant/accused Nikhil
Mansukhani.

Reply filed. Copy given.

Accused was permitted to go abroad vide order dated 28.10.2024 r/w order dated 16.10.2024 on certain terms and conditions. One of the condition was that he will redeposit his passport after return and will also inform the court within three days of his return.

The accused has already deposited his passport. Report was called from the IO whether any term or condition of the order has been violated by the accused or not. IO informed that there is no apparent violation of the said order but in case it will come to the knowledge in future then the court will be informed.

In view of the above, application is disposed off by giving liberty to the prosecution to inform the court if in future any violation of the order is noticed and then in that situation the

court will take appropriate action as per law against the accused.
This miscellaneous application file be consigned to record room.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-08, RACC
20.11.2024