

06] Do the plaintiffs prove that, the defendant No.01 has no connection with the title / ownership of suit property?

07] Do the defendant No.01 and 02 prove that, the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary party?

08] Do the defendant No.01 and 02 prove that, the plaintiffs unnecessarily instituted suit, hence they are entitled to get compensatory cost of Rs.50,000/- (Fifty Thousand)?

09] Are the plaintiffs entitled to get the relief of declaration that, sale deed of suit property is void and non binding on them?

10] Are the plaintiffs entitled to get the relief of declaration that, defendant No.01 has no connection with the ownership of suit property?

11] Are the plaintiffs entitled to get the relief of perpetual injunction restraining the defendant No.01 or any person on his behalf or through him from causing obstruction to the possession of the plaintiffs over suit property?

12] Is the suit within limitation?

13] What order, decree and costs?

----Sd/----

(Subhash L. Phule)

(MH RG 0009 - 2125)

Date : 08-09-2017. Civil Judge, Junior Divison, Roha.

Place : Roha. District : Raigad.

Note : 01. Bench clerk is directed to take note of the issues with signature and date from the advocates of both sides.

02. Both parties on next date shall file list of witnesses to be examined as per Rule 1 of Order 16 of C. P. C., 1908.

03. If parties fail to file list, then, it will be presumed that, they don't want to examine witnesses and further order will follow.