

ISSUES

1. Whether plaintiffs prove that suit properties are ancestral properties of plaintiff and defendants?
2. Whether plaintiffs prove that by taking undue advantage of old age of plaintiff's mother, the father of defendant nos. 1 and 2, defendant nos. 11 to 15 & defendant nos. 16 to 23 entered their names in revenue records as legal heirs ?
3. Whether plaintiffs prove that documents, sale deed, power of attorney by which names of defendants entered in revenue records of suit property are illegal & not binding over plaintiffs ?
4. Whether plaintiffs prove that defendant nos. 1 to 23 without authority executed register sale deed in respect of suit properties ?
5. Whether plaintiffs prove that they have 1/6 share in suit properties ?
6. Whether defendant nos. 1 to 3, 9 to 12, 14, 16 to 18 prove that partition of suit properties was taken place during the life time of deceased Pandurang Nanaji Vichare and Sarswati Pandurang Vichare ?
7. Whether defendant nos. 1 to 3, 9 to 12, 14, 16 to 18 prove that suit is not properly valued and proper Court fee stamp is not paid on the suit ?
8. Whether defendant nos. 13, 15, 19 to 23 prove that partition of suit properties was taken place during the life time of deceased Pandurang Nanaji Vichare and Sarswati Pandurang Vichare ?
9. Whether defendant nos. 13, 15, 19 to 23 prove that suit is not properly valued and proper Court fee stamp is not paid on the suit ?
10. Whether plaintiffs entitled for declaration as prayed for ?
11. Whether plaintiffs entitled for partition & separate possession of suit properties ?

- 12 Whether plaintiffs entitled for settlement of share of defendant no. 1 to 23 against properties sold by them ?
Alternatively if not possible to divide market value of their share among other share holders ?
- 13 Whether plaintiffs entitled for injunction as prayed for?
- 14 What Order and decree ?

Khalapur
Date :- 17/07/2019

(Vishal Shravan Dhondage)
Jt. Civil Judge Junior Division