ORDER BELOW EXH.25 IN CIV.M.A.No.21/2010

Ram Dehu Sonavale ... *Applicant*
Vs.
Amruta Hari Sonavale and ors. ... *Opponents*

Perused application. This is an application filed on behalf of Opponent no.2 to 4 for delay condonation to file say. It is submission of opponent no.2 to 4 that, they could not collect necessary documents from concern authority and therefore, They could not file say in time and delay was caused. Now by this application they prayed to condon delay. It is their submission that if the say is not taken on record, irreparable loss will caused to them. They filed affidavit in support of their application below Exh.26.

2. Applicant has given his say on application and contended that the reasons mentioned in application are false, there is no proper reason for delay. Due to opponent no.2 to 4 carelessness delay was caused and, therefore application be rejected.

3 Perused the affidavit. Considering the reason mentioned in the application I am of the opinion that to avoid multiplicity of proceeding and in the interest of natural justice the application be allowed. But due to act of opponent no.2 to 4 delay was caused for the proceeding. Therefore, opponent no.2 to 4 are liable for cost. Hence the order -

ORDER

1) Application is allowed with cost of Rs.500/- .

2) After paying cost opponent no.2 to 4 to file their Say.

Karjat. (S.B.Yadav)
Date : 17/03/2017 Jt.Civil Judge Jr.Divn., Karjat.