

RCC 876/2024

order

non-transparent, and contrary to established judicial precedent.

Perused application, got & record. Heard both sides.

Ld. Advocate

for accused

argued that as

per points mentioned

in said application.

Ld. APP argued as a)

per points mentioned

in her got.

from perusal of record

it appears that said

offence is serious economic

offence. In para 6 of said application

accused himself

admitted that 22,00,000/-

received through PMS in his

alc & said amount

refund of accused in cash.

but no any evidence filed by

accused that he repaid

Said amount in cash.

It is submission of advocate of accused

that due to freezing

of alc accused suffer heavy business loss

hence required to defreeze alc for business purpose

hence following order is passed

① concern bank is

directed to defreeze account of said accused

for future business transaction only. The

amount if any lying in said account as on today

shall be hold till further order & accused shall

not withdraw Panvel the said amount till further

order. Accused is liberty to use said account only

for future business transaction from

today.

Date: 22/11/2025


for future business transaction from today.

S. V. S. S. V.

06.4.2026

A. J. Shodale

Adv For Applicant



Volume	25
Page	77
Srl. No.	545

A. DEVANATHAN, B.A., B.L.
ADVOCATE, NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSIONER OF OATHS
Law Association, City Civil Court,
No. 180, Adyar, Chennai - 600 029.