

M.No. 138/24 (IA no. 116/2024) in CC No. 61/2022
RC no. RC2242022A0001, CBI AC-VI (SIT), New Delhi.
CBI Vs. Kapil Wadhawan & Others
u/s 120-B, 206, 409, 411, 420, 424, 465, 468, 477A IPC &
Sections 11, 13 (2) r/w Section 13(1)(d) of PC Act
**(Application under Section 205 Cr PC moved on behalf of accused no.
97 Chanakya Dhanda for dispensation with personal appearance).**

04.03.2025

Present: > Sh. Anupam S. Sharrma, Spl. PP alongwith Sh.
Prakarsh Airan, Advocate joined the proceedings
through VC where Sh. Ujjawal, advocate appeared in
the court for CBI.
> Applicant/accused Chanakya Dhanda (A-97) joined
the proceedings through VC whereas Sh. D. K.
Rustagi and Sh. Ashok Kumar Malhotra, Advocates
appeared in the court.

An application has been moved by the prosecution under
Section 72 BNSS for issuing of NBW against the present accused as
well as accused Praful Bhatt whose similar application was pending
yesterday. This is a joint application moved today but the matter of
Praful Bhatt was concluded yesterday and is fixed for orders. Hence,
the present application is treated only moved against the present
applicant Chanakya Dhanda because the oral request to issue NBW
against Praful Bhatt was already made in that application. Copy given.

Counsel for the accused is given time till tomorrow
evening as per his request to make written submissions against this
application. Further arguments on the application under Section 205
Cr PC for about one hour heard.

It is important to mention here that the accused though
appeared through VC since beginning of the proceedings but his
camera was not switched on for whole the time when his counsel

argued. However, when the Special PP started arguments and raised objection that accused is appearing without switch on of his camera then thereafter accused switched on the same.

Put up on **12.03.2025** for orders on this application.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-08, RACC
04.03.2025