


MHRG040006632026 	<p style="text-align: center;">Spl. C.S. No. 91/2026 Fasubai Chandrakant Phadke alias Fashibai Chander Phadke Vs Shantabai Bhau Bhopi</p>
---	--

ORDER BELOW EXH.05

01. Read the application and affidavit annexed herewith. Heard Ld. Advocate for plaintiff. The Ld. Advocate for plaintiff submitted that plaintiff and defendant no. 1 are real sisters and they have 50% shares each in suit property. He contended that defendant no. 1 in conspiracy with revenue officer entered her name in suit property vide mutation entry no. 782. He contended that defendant no. 1 assured plaintiff that she will give 50% share in suit property but keeping plaintiff in dark, defendant no. 1 sold 25 R land out of suit property to defendant no. 2. He contended that there is possibility that defendant no. 1 may sale remaining suit property and may create third party interest. Perusal of record shows that apart from apprehension in the mind of plaintiff there is nothing on record to support her contention that defendant no. 1 may sale remaining property. This is not fit case to grant exparty injunction against defendants. Therefore, I am of the view that notice is to be issued against defendants for showing cause as to why the injunction should not be granted as prayed for in the application. Hence issue notice to defendants returnable on **09/03/2026**.

02. EP & SB allowed, if any.

Date : 26/02/2026

Place : Panvel

(R. Y. Khandare)

5th Joint Civil Judge; Sr. Div, Panvel.