

MHRG040005252025 	Darkhast No. 100/2025 Dipak Manohar Patil Vs Manohar Jagannath Patil
---	---

ORDER BELOW EXH. 24

01. Perused application and say. Heard Ld. Advocate for both parties. This application is filed by Judgment Debtor no. 1 to 3 for condonation of delay in filing their say. Decree holder filed their say at **Exh. 28** and strongly opposed application.

02. It is contended by JD no. 1 to 3 that they appeared in the case on 03/11/2025 but JD no. 1 is old aged person and JD no. 3 being his son has responsibility to take care of JD no. 3 and therefore they could not meet their advocate and hence there is delay of about 3 months in filing say. DH contended that the reason mentioned by JD is false. He contended that no document is filed by JD in support of their contention and hence he prayed for rejection of application.

03. Perusal of record shows that this case is filed by the DH for execution of compromise decree. However the JD contended that the decree is not executable and therefore it is necessary to take the

say of JD on record and to decide the maintainability of decree.

Hence, the application is allowed and the delay is condoned subject to payment of cost Rs. 1000/- payable to TLSA, Panvel.

Date : 17/04/2026

Place : Panvel

(R.Y. Khandare)

**5th Joint Civil Judge; Sr. Div,
Panvel.**