

ORDER BELOW EXH.50 IN L.A.R. NO.74/1996

1. This application is filed by claimant seeking permission to lead evidence by issuing witness summons. Claimant contended that in the present matter, the evidence of claimant is closed and just because the matter is 30 years old the matter is posted for argument. Delay is not caused by the claimant. Hence, prayed that application be allowed.

2. Respondent failed to file their say. Evidence of claimant was closed on 20/04/2026. After closing evidence also claimant has filed five applications which are rejected by this Court by passing order. In spite of that claimant has again filed the said application without any satisfactory grounds. Admittedly, matter is more than 30 years old. It appears that claimant is not interested to proceed the matter. Hence, I find no reason and no satisfactory ground for allowing the said application. Resultantly, application stands rejected.

Panvel.
Date : 30-06-2026.

(Nayomi P. Pawar)
Civil Judge, Senior Division Panvel.