

**Criminal Bail Application No.100/2026
Satyavan Dattatray Dumbre Vs. State (Otur PS.)
CNR No. MHPU330002472026**

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

(Passed on this 10th June, 2026)

By the present application, the applicants seek anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No. 148 of 2026 registered with Othur Police Station for the offences punishable under Section 92(b) of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act and Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2), 351(3), and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, "BNS").

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant and the accused have been embroiled in a long-standing dispute relating to immovable property for nearly thirty years, their agricultural lands being adjacent to each other. It is alleged that on 31 May 2026, when there was no electricity supply to the informant's farm, he called a wireman to inspect the issue. Acting upon the advice of the wireman, the informant and his son cut the branches of certain trees situated on the boundary of their agricultural land. It is further alleged that after cutting the branches, when the informant and his son were returning, accused Satyavan arrived at the spot and started abusing the informant.

3. It is further the case of the prosecution that accused Satyavan thereafter assaulted the informant on his face, causing him to sustain injuries. It is alleged that accused Satyavan also

used a stone to assault the informant. The wife of accused Satyavan, namely Kalpana, also allegedly assaulted the informant. When the informant's wife intervened to rescue him, accused Satyavan allegedly pushed her. It is further alleged that the son and daughter of accused Satyavan, namely Siddhesh and Sakshi, his sister-in-law Ujwala, Ujwala's son Tanmay and daughter Pranali, as well as accused Satyavan's nephew Piyush, also joined in the assault and assaulted the informant and his wife while abusing them. It is specifically alleged that accused Ujwala assaulted the informant with a wooden stick. The prosecution further alleges that when the informant's son was recording the incident on his mobile phone, accused Kalpana assaulted him as well. On the basis of the said allegations, the present crime came to be registered against the applicants.

188

4. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicants, the learned APP, and the Investigating Officer. Perused the record.

5. The learned Advocate for the applicants has placed on record an affidavit sworn by applicant/accused Satyavan Dattatre Dumbre, wherein it is stated that the informant and the accused are distant relatives and that disputes regarding their adjoining agricultural lands have existed between them for a long time. It is further stated in the affidavit that the applicant and his family members shall not, in future, indulge in any criminal acts against the informant or his family members. On the strength of the said

affidavit, the learned Advocate prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. The learned APP opposed the application. The Investigating Officer also opposed the grant of anticipatory bail and submitted that the incident has created a law and order situation in the village. Two FIRs are registered from the same incident. The accused in this application are the informant and witnesses in the cross FIR. It was contended that if the applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they would not have any deterrence against repeating such acts. It was further submitted that the wooden stick allegedly used in the commission of the offence is yet to be recovered.

7. I have given my anxious consideration to the rival submissions and have perused the material placed on record. At this stage, the record indicates that the informant lost two teeth as a result of the assault allegedly committed by the accused. The record further reveals that both the informant and his wife are persons with disabilities. The allegations prima facie disclose that accused Satyavan assaulted the informant and his wife and also used a stone during the assault. It is further alleged that accused Kalpana assaulted the informant with a wooden stick. As regards the remaining accused, the allegations indicate that they joined the incident subsequently and assaulted the informant and his wife by hands.

8. Having regard to the nature of the allegations, the injuries sustained by the victims, the role attributed to each of the applicants, and the fact that the investigation is still in progress, the custodial interrogation of accused Satyavan and Kalpana cannot be ruled out at this stage. However, so far as the remaining applicants are concerned, the allegations against them are comparatively less serious and primarily relate to assault by fists after joining the incident subsequently. Their custodial interrogation does not appear to be necessary. Therefore, they deserve the protection of anticipatory bail on appropriate terms and conditions.

Hence, the following order:

ORDER


1. Criminal Bail Application no. 100/2026 partly allowed.
 2. Application for anticipatory bail of accused no.1 Satyavan Dattatraya Dumbare and accused no.2 Kalpana Satyawar Dumbare is hereby rejected.
 2. In the event of arrest, the applicants namely 3) Siddhesh Satyavan Dumbare 4) Sakshi Satyavan Dumbare 5) Ujwala Narayan Dumbare 6) Pranali Narayan dumbare 7) Piyush Sanjay Dumbare shall be released on bail in connection with C.R. No. 148/2026 of Otur police station on executing PR. and S.B. of Rs.25,000/-.
- a The applicants no. 3 to 7 shall report to the concerned Police Station on 13th and 14th June, 2026 between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and thereafter as and when required by the investigating officer under written intimation.
 - b. The applicants no. 3 to 7 shall not directly or indirectly

make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the present case.

- c. The applicants no. 3 to 7 shall not leave Maharashtra without prior permission of this Court.
3. Inform concerned police station accordingly.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Junnar, Pune
Dt. : 10/06/2026


(S. B. Shelar)
Additional Sessions Judge, Junnar
Tal. Junnar, Dist. Pune

