

MHPU250019572023



R.C.C./361/2023
The State of Maharashtra Through
Narayangaon Police station Vs.
Nanabhau Baban Lohot

ORDER BELOW EXH. 59 IN RCC No. 361/2023

1] This is an application preferred by applicant/accused no. 2- Abhijeet Nanabhau Lohot for seeking permission to go abroad.

2] It is submitted by the applicant/accused that the present case is pending against him. He executed agreement between inphosis UK Ltd company. Therefore, he wants to go abroad for a year. He got received Vissa for 2 years to go abroad at UK. But it is necessary to take permission of this court. Therefore, he prayed to give permission to travel abroad during for period of a year.

3] Ld. APP has filed his say. Ld. APP stated that present case is pending against present accused and others. Matter is placed for evidence of the prosecution. Offence is serious and identification of accused is necessary during investigation. Accused has not mentioned date of his schedule of travel. Accused No.5 have also traveled to USA and NBW has been issued against him by order below Exh.31. If permission is granted, the trial can be delayed due to absence of the present accused. He may not return to India. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

4] Heard both the sides. From the record, it reveals that present applicant/accused and others are alleged to have committed offence punishable under section 498-A, 417, 323, 504, 506, 34 of

the Indian Penal Code. Case is pending for evidence of the prosecution. By this application applicant/accused has seeking only permission to go abroad. But it is necessary to furnish his departure and arrival program prior leaving the local limits of India and furnish his undertaking that in his absence his advocate would conduct the trial and he will not challenge his identity at any point of time. Applicant has his passport and Visa.

5] On perusal of charge-sheet, it appears that accused/applicant is permanent C-403, Mauli Darshan, Bapu Bagave Road, Kandarpada, Dahisar, West- Mumbai-68, and temporary R/at-B-902, Nirman Landmark, Warje, Pune and he is the citizen of India. Alongwith this application applicant/accused No. 2 produced his affidavit on oath in which he has contended that he is ready to obey all the conditions imposed by this Court. In such circumstances, he has every right to go abroad. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances, in my view, if the application is rejected, the applicant will be deprived of his right to go abroad. However, permission may be granted for the reason mentioned in the application. It would be just and proper to accord him the permission to go abroad. Hence, I pass the following order in the interest of justice.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. The applicant/accused No. 2- Abhijeet Nanabhau Lohot is permitted to go abroad for the reason mentioned in the application subject to following conditions-

- a) Applicant/Accused No.2 shall furnish his departure and arrival program within 7 days from this order.
- b) He shall furnish his undertaking that in his absence his advocate would conduct the trial and he will not challenge his identity at any point of time.
- c) He shall furnish his residential address proof along-with photo identity.

Junnar
Date 07/08/2026

(R. G. Kumbhar)
Judicial Magistrate, First Class,
Junnar.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file judgment are same word for word as per original judgment.

Name of Court	:- Smt. R. G. Kumbhar 3 rd Jt. Civil Judge Jr. Div. & J.M.F.C., Junnar
Name of Steno	:- A. K. Mate
Date of Decision Judgment	:- 07/08/2026
Signed by Presiding Officer	:- 07/08/2026
On	
Judgment upload on	:- 07/08/2026