

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KHED-RAJGURUNGAR,  
DISTRICT PUNE

Order below Exh.123  
In  
Sessions Case No. 167 of 2024  
(CNR. No. MHPU21-001993-2024)

State of Maharashtra  
Through Mahalunge MIDC police station

... Complainant

Versus

Vishal Pandurang Tulave

... Accused/Applicant

**Appearances:**

Ld. APP Shri. S. S. Wagh for State.

Ld. Adv. Shri. S. B. Arude for applicant/accused

JUDGE : SHRI A.S. SAYYAD  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

DATE : MARCH 30, 2026

**(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)**

**:ORDER:**

This is an application for relaxation of bail condition incorporated in clause 2(i) of bail order (Exh.76) passed while consideration of the bail application.

2. According to applicant, he is having parents, wife and children who depending upon him. Except him, there is no any person to look after towards his children. He is residing without his family at Pune. Because of the condition as laid down in bail order, applicant could not enter into the jurisdiction of Mahalunge MIDC Police Station. He undertakes to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for relaxation of bail condition.

3. The prosecution opposed to this application by filing reply on its overleaf. According to prosecution, in case the application is granted, accused will commit any other offence in the jurisdiction of MIDC Police Station. Hence, prayed for rejection of the application.

4. From the record and proceeding it appears that the applicant was granted bail vide order dtd.20/06/2025. Since then he appears to be on bail. On receipt of this application, this Court has called response of the concerned Police Station. Despite more than sufficient time granted to concerned Police Station, they failed to response to this application. The as such silence of the concerned Police Station would amounts to no objection to this application. It appears that there is no history of misuse of liberty by the applicant which granted by bail order. Investigation has been already completed way back and now the matter is pending for trial. Since there is no any adverse remark filed by the prosecution regarding the misuse of condition of bail order, this Court is of the considered opinion that no purpose would be served keeping the said condition longer in existence. Hence, the following order would meet the end of justice.

### ORDER

1. Application is allowed.

2. Condition in clause 2(i) of bail order (Exh.76) is hereby relaxed and rest of the conditions maintain intact.
3. Application (Exh.123) is disposed off accordingly.
4. Inform the concerned police station accordingly.

Khed.  
Date : 30/03/2026

**(A. S. Sayyad)**  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	Mrs. Pranjali Prasad Bokil Stenographer Grade I
Name of Court	Shri. A. S. Sayyad District Judge 1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.
Date of Order	30/03/2026
Order signed by PO on	30/03/2026
Order uploaded on	31/03/2026