

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KHED-RAJGURUNGAR,
PUNE

Order below Exh. 03
In
Sessions Case No. 63 of 2024
(CNR. No. MHPU21-000535-2024)

State of Maharashtra
Through Chakan police station

... Complainant

Versus

Satish Bhanudas Jadhav

... Accused/Applicant

Appearances:

Ld. APP Shri. M. D. Pandkar for State.

Ld. Adv. Smt. M. B. Nikam for applicant/accused

JUDGE : SHRI A.S. SAYYAD
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 22, 2025

(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)

:ORDER:

By this successive bail application, the applicant seeks his release on bail under Section 439 of the Indian Penal Code (in short ' the IPC') in connection with CR No. 1651 of 2022, registered with Chakan police station, for the offences punishable under section 302 of the IPC.

2. Perused the application and reply of prosecution thereof. Heard both the sides at length of considerable time.

3. **Background facts** -

It is the case of prosecution that on 19/10/2022 at about 2:00 p.m. in a room at Kuruli Phata, adjacent to Pune – Nasik Highway, applicant committed murder of his wife due to suspicion of her character and also due to giving birth to two special children. According to prosecution, there is an active involvement of the applicant in the alleged crime. In case the applicant is enlarged on bail, he may tamper or hamper the prosecution evidence. On grounds as above and others, the prosecution prayed for rejection of the application. Hence, this report.

4. The prosecution strongly opposed to this application vide reply Exh.4 mainly on the ground that the serious offence has been committed by the applicant. Applicant is involved in the present case. There is prima facie clinching material against the applicant. In case the applicant is released on bail, probability of hampering or tampering prosecution witnesses may not be ruled out. The prosecution therefore prayed for rejection of the application.

5. At the outset, it is important to mention that it is a second bail application filed by the applicant for bail on the almost similar grounds. This Court on last occasion has passed detail order and rejected the application on merit. On perusal of record and proceeding, it would show that there is prima facie clinching material about the complicity of the applicant in the alleged crime. Now the matter for framing of charge and trial will commence soon. In the circumstances as above and considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to consider the present application. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. Application is rejected.

2. The application (Exh.3) is disposed off accordingly.
3. Inform the jail authority through E-mail, accordingly.

Khed.
Date : 22/09/2025

(A. S. Sayyad)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	Mrs. Pranjali Prasad Bokil Stenographer Grade I
Name of Court	Shri. A. S. Sayyad District Judge - 1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune
Date of Order	22/09/2025
Order signed by PO on	22/09/2025
Order uploaded on	23/09/2025