

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 1**

On perusal of record and proceeding it would show that the present matter is kept for an appropriate steps at the instance of applicant. Roznama would show that on 13/11/2024 on behalf of applicant Vakilpatra filed by Advocate Triyama Legal seeking time for reply. The said application was allowed as sought and matter was kept to 21/11/2024. However, on 21/11/2024 again neither applicant nor his Ld. Advocate present and taken an appropriate steps as what sought by earlier application dt. 13/11/2023. Therefore, again matter was kept for order to 17/12/2024. On 17/12/2024 the applicant and his Ld. Advocate absent when called repeatedly till 5.30 p.m. No any application placed on record taking any appropriate steps. Thus, lukewarm approach of the applicant reflects either he is not interested to pursue with this matter or he deliberately wants to prolong matter as it is. This Court earlier also at length passed an order about as such approach of applicant in causing delay to proceed further. Today, also no any application along with appropriate steps, hence, matter is kept for passing an appropriate order on next date i.e. 09/01/2025.

Khed-Rajgurunagar.  
Date : 17/12/2024

Sd/xxx  
( A. S. Sayyad )  
District Judge – 1,  
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Dist Pune.

## **CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per order.

Name of Steno	A. S. Shivapurkar Stenographer Grade I
Name of Court	A. S. Sayyad District Judge – 1 Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.
Date of Order	17/12/2024
Order signed by PO on	19/12/2024
Order uploaded on	19/12/2024