

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KHED-RAJGURUNGAR,
PUNE

Order below Exh.1

In

Cri. Bail Application No. 76 of 2026
(CNR. No. MHPU21-000208-2026)

Akshay Jaysingh Kale

... Accused/Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra
Through Ghodegaon police station

... Complainant

Appearances:

Ld. APP Shri. S. S. Wagh for State.

Ld. Adv. Shri. V. R. Kale for applicant/accused

JUDGE : SHRI A.S. SAYYAD
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

DATE : MARCH 10, 2026.

(DICTATED AND PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT)

:ORDER:

The applicant seeks his release on bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short 'B.N.S.S.') in connection with CR No. 14 of 2026, registered with Ghodegaon police station, for the offences punishable under sections 118(1), 115(2), 118(2), 352 and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (in short 'B.N.S.').

2. Perused the application and reply of prosecution thereof. Heard both the sides at length of considerable time.

Background facts -

3. The incident in question took place on 28/01/2026 at about 12.30 pm. On the fateful day of incident, the applicant allegedly came to the spot of incident and warned the informant that they shall not cultivate the land and claimed his right on the said land. The applicant raised quarrel and started abusing informant Bhagwan Genbhau Kale. At that time, the applicant armed with iron rod assaulted the informant on his forehead and other parts of body. Due to the said assault, the informant sustained multiple grievous injuries on vital part of body. The applicant also assaulted the son of informant by hands and threatened for dire consequences. Hence, this report.

4. The prosecution responded the application vide reply Exh.4. The prosecution placed the criminal history of the applicant and tried to show a habitual offender. According to prosecution, nature and gravity of the offence is serious. In case the applicant is granted bail, he will commit again similar offence and pressurizing the prosecution witnesses. The prosecution therefore prayed for rejection of the application.

5. Having heard both the sides at the length of considerable time. I have carefully gone through the application and reply. It is a matter of fact that investigation of the present crime is completed and charge-sheet is already submitted in the Court concerned. The Investigating Officer appears to be seized offence weapon i.e. iron rod during investigation. At this juncture, there no appears any recovery or discovery remains at the instance of the applicant. Though the above facts at this juncture appears to be favourable for applicant, but, the another aspect of the applicant while committing the offence needs to be considered. From the documents attached with the application would show that the applicant was recently acquitted by the Ld. JMFC in the offences triable by it and in the sessions case by this

Court. Except these cases, there appears another various body offences registered and pending against the applicant. This appears that the applicant has no any fear of law and he is in habit to take law in his hands. Applicant appears to be habitual in committing the repeated body offences. The manner in which and the reason for which the applicant allegedly made assault on the informant would show rashness of the applicant while committing the offence. Nature and gravity of the offence is serious. Criminal antecedents of the applicant are not clear. Therefore, the offences though triable by JMFC, but, the conduct and manner of the applicant is of serious concerned. In the circumstances as above, This Court is of the considered opinion that if the application is considered as sought, probability of committing the similar offence at the hands of the applicant may not be ruled out.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, the conduct and the criminal history of the applicant, this Court is of the considered opinion that it would not proper to consider the present application. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. Cri, Bail Application 76/2026 is rejected.
2. The prosecution is hereby directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude the same as early as possible.
3. Inform the jail authority through Email.

Date : 10/03/2026

(A. S. Sayyad)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	Mrs. Pranjali Prasad Bokil Stenographer Grade I
Name of Court	Shri. A. S. Sayyad District Judge - 1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Khed-Rajgurunagar, Pune
Date of Order	10/03/2026
Order signed by PO on	10/03/2026
Order uploaded on	11/03/2026