

SC 523/2022

STATE Vs. IZUCHUKWU EMANNUELAMARA

FIR NO. 84/2022

PS SPECIAL CELL

30.03.2026

Present: Sh. A. B. Asthana, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vikas Gautam, Ld. counsel for accused
Izuchukwu Emmanuel
Sh. Naveen Panwar, Ld. Counsel for accused Ankit
Sagar (through VC).

PW Retd. ACP Attar Singh is present.

Summons sent to PW Insp. Sumit and HC Kaushender received back served, however, request for exemption is received on the ground that they are on leave. Request is accepted for today only.

When the State propose to examine PW Retd. ACP Attar Singh, the same is objected by the Ld. Defence Counsel Mr. Vikas Gautam stating that testimony of PW Insp. Sumit related to the first alleged seizure of the contraband is not yet complete. That retired ACP Attar Singh was a witness to the said seizure and if he is examined before testimony of Insp. Sumit, this might prejudice the defence of the accused as the prosecution might improve its case in testimony of Insp. Sumit in light of cross examination of Retd. ACP Attar Singh.

Contd..

-2-

Finding the submission to be justified, Court directs testimony of Insp. Sumit to be completed first. Retd. ACP is discharged unexamined for today only.

Issue summons to PW Insp. Sumit, witness at serial no. 15, 16, 18, 19 & 28 and MHCM along-with entire case property for NDOH.

Matter be listed for PE on **24.08.2026**.

(Jitendra Pratap Singh)
ASJ/Spl. Judge, NDPS/N Delhi
30.03.2026/r