



ORDER BELOW EXH. 16
(Passed on 16/09/2022)

This is an application filed by plaintiffs for Status-quo against defendants. According to the plaintiffs, the plaintiffs have filed present suit in respect of suit property specifically mentioned in plaint for perpetual injunction against defendants. According to them plaintiffs are legal owners and possessor of the suit property on the basis of sale deed got executed by their father. The defendants are obstructed to the plaintiffs over the suit land and in haste to possess the same. If defendants succeed in their overt act, the plaintiffs will sustain irreparable loss which will not compensate in terms of money. Hence, this application.

2. The Ld. Advocate for defendants filed his say and thereby strongly opposed the application of plaintiffs containing that, the allegations made by plaintiffs against the defendants are baseless and false. No any cause arise to plaintiffs to file the present application. Hence they lastly prayed to reject the application.

3. Perused the application. Heard both sides. It appears that, the plaintiffs have sought status-quo against defendants. The defendants are appeared in the matter and they have not file written statement till date. In support of their version plaintiffs have relied on 7/12 extract as well as copy of registered sale deed in respect of suit property. So far as concern obstruction at the hands of defendants as stated by the plaintiffs they placed the reliance on copies of FIR placed on record. The Ld. Advocate for plaintiffs invite my attention towards the recitals mentioned

in FIR Cr. No. 420/2022 of Yawat Police Station. She also relied on Copy of FIR in Cr. No. 599/2022 of Yawat Police station. I have perused entire contents of the application and say with submissions advanced by both sides. It appears that, though there are contentions in FIR against the plaintiffs the FIR in Cr. No. 420/2022 is lodged by one Sarika Jawale and another FIR in Cr. No. 599/2022 lodged by Rohini Santosh Jawale, those are not parties to the present suit. However, except copies of FIR the plaintiffs have not filed anything on record which goes to shows that, defendants are in haste to create obstacles to the plaintiffs and in haste to create their possession over the suit property. Therefore, considering all these aspects at this stage I have not found any substance in the application. Hence, following order.

ORDER

Application stands rejected.

Date- 16/09/2022.

(Sharad B. Kaldate)
Jt.Civil Judge (Jr.Dn.),
Daund.

Certificate

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF File are same words to words, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Name of Stenographer : D. A. Merukar, Grade- 3
Upload on date : 19/09/2022.