

MHPU140031052020.



SESSION CASE NO. 132/2020

State of Maharashtra

Vs.

Anil Vasant Bhondave

ORDER BELOW EXH.52

This is an application filed by Ld. APP to frame Additional Charge under Section 307 of IPC, contending that injured-Savita is victim and Section 307 of IPC is invoked in charge-sheet. However, no charge is framed by Ld. Predecessor under said section. Therefore, he submitted to frame Additional Charge.

2] Say is filed by Ld. Advocate for accused that Savita Bhondave being wife of deceased, was already examined who has not stated anything regarding incident/offence under Section 307 of IPC. Accused will be prejudiced if such charge is framed. Section 307 of IPC is not applicable as per Discharge Card of *Janhitharth* Hospital. The application is not legally tenable. He submitted to reject the application.

3] Perusal of the charge-sheet shows that offence under Sections 302 and 307 of IPC is alleged to have been committed by accused against complainant by his brother. The statement of victim is recorded who is wife of deceased, mentioning that she has also suffered burn injuries on thighs. According to Ld. Advocate for accused, no offence under Section 307 of IPC is made out. Keeping in view the material on record that wife of deceased/complainant has also suffered burn injuries in the alleged assault, the charge under Section 30 of IPC shall be framed, which is not so appearing in the earlier charge framed by Ld. Predecessor on 17.08.2023. Accordingly, charge under Section 307 of IPC be added in Exh.9 and statement of the accused shall be recorded accordingly.

ate :- 17.03.2026

Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of Stenographer	:	V.B. Lalsangi Stenographer (G-1)
Court Name	:	Additional Sessions Judge, Baramati, Dist. Pune.
Date of Order	:	17.03.2026
Order signed by Presiding Officer	:	17.03.2026
Order uploaded on	:	17.03.2026