

**Cri. Bail Application No. 363/2026****Vikram Sunil Jagtap****Vs.****CNRNO. MHPU140008822026****State of Maharashtra****(Through Baramati City Police Stn.)****(A) CASE DETAILS**

FIR Number & Date	73/2026, dtd. 22.02.2026
Police Station, District and State	Baramati City Police Station
Sections invoked	Sections 109, 61(2), 118(2), 351(2), 351(3) r/w. Sec. 3(5) of BNS
Maximum punishment prescribed	Imprisonment for life

(B) CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

Date of Arrest	22.02.2026
Total period of custody undergone	115 days.

(C) STATUS OF TRIAL

Stage of proceedings	Charge-sheet filed
Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	12
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Nil

(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

FIR Number and Police Station	NIL
Sections	NIL
Status	NIL

(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

Court	No.
Case No.	No.
Outcome of case	No.

(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES

Whether any Non-bailable Warrant was issued	NIL
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	NIL

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

This application for releasing on regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), is filed by applicant/accused-Vikram Sunil Jagtap, in Crime No.73/2026, registered at Baramati City Police Station, for offences punishable under Sections 109, 61(2), 118(2) and 351(2) (3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

2. Heard Ld. Advocate for accused and Ld. A.P.P. for State. Perused the copy of charge-sheet and documents filed on record.

3. Prosecution case as per the charge-sheet is that on 22.02.2026, complainant-Mahendra Dhondiba Gaikwad lodged a report at Baramati City Police Station, alleging that on 21.02.2026, accused Akshay Sunil Jagtap with his friends had been to hotel of complainant for dinner. After dinner, accused-Akshay did not pay bill, for which complainant filed N.C. in police station against him. On 22.02.2023, at about 7.30 a.m., accused-Akshay and his brother-accused Vikram approached complainant for compromising the dispute. At about 12.00 hours, they all drunk liquor with complainant and on the count of earlier incident, accused-Akshay with his accomplices assaulted on right leg and chest of complainant with axe and iron rod. Another assailant-accused also hit iron rod on complainant's chest and one of them was threatening to kill anyone, if they intervene in the quarrel. On such report, offence punishable under Section 109 of BNS of attempt to commit murder, causing injuries and invoking other sections of BNS came to be registered against accused, in which, present accused-Vikram Jagtap and accused-Jaydeep Bhosale were arrested

on 22.02.2026 and other accused are reported to be absconding as per the contents of charge-sheet.

4. It is submitted by Ld. advocate for accused that as per the charge-sheet and the evidence collected, the main allegations are against accused-Akshay Jagtap of assaulting with axe on the complainant Mahendra Gaikwad, and accused Mahadev Jadhav, assaulting with iron rod, whereas general allegations are made against the present accused. No specific role is attributed to him. Present accused had not any enmity with the complainant. Allegations made against him are only accompanying the main accused. The quarrel was between the complainant and accused Akshay. The accused are in jail since arrest on 22.02.2026 for more than 100 days. Investigation is already made from the accused. No serious injury is resulted to complainant as he was already discharged after treatment. The recovery of axe and iron rod is already made. He submitted that looking to the role of the accused and that he is in custody for more than 100 days, and as trial would take time where other accused are absconding, the present accused may be released on bail subject to conditions.

5. On the other hand, Ld. APP for State submitted that the present accused acted in furtherance of common intention with accused-Akshay Jagtap, who has grievously injured on leg to the complaint by using axe. The present accused with Jaydeep Bhosale are only apprehended. Other two accused are absconding. Though, the charge-sheet is filed against the present accused, investigation will be affected against other accused due to release of present accused. Offence under section 109 of BNS is registered against accused. Though charge-sheet is filed, it is not change in circumstances. Therefore, he submitted to reject the application.

6. As per the facts of the case, accused-Akshay is named in the FIR to be person who actually hit the complainant with axe on right leg resulting into fracture, which axe was already brought by Akshay. The other two accused are stated to have used iron rod against the complainant to assault on chest, in respect of which, section 3(5) of BNS is invoked against the present applicant/accused. The present applicant is not specifically named in FIR, to have caused grievous hurt to complainant. As per Medical Certificate filed with charge-sheet, the injuries were suffered on right leg, right arm and right forearm by the complainant of fracture shown as grievous injuries and the injury on head is mentioned as simple. In the charge-sheet, it is mentioned that absconding accused Nos. 3 and 4 were responsible for causing grievous hurt to the complainant, and thus, role of the present accused is not alleged to be that of person actually causing grievous hurt to him. Thus, the role of present accused is distinguished from absconding accused Nos. 3 and 4 as per the charge alleged in the charge-sheet. The accused is in custody since 22.02.2026. Investigation from him is already made. Nothing is appearing on record to detain the accused in custody further. The charge-sheet is already present against both the accused Nos.1 and 2 before Ld. Magistrate. In the above view of the matter, the accused may be released on bail subject to conditions. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

1. Application is hereby allowed.
2. Applicant/accused No.1-Vikram Sunil Jagtap shall be released on regular bail in Crime No.73/2026, of Baramati City Police Station, on his executing Personal Bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and a Surety in the like sum.

3. The applicant/accused shall not enter in the area/locality where complainant and witnesses are residing, till their evidence is over.
4. The applicant/accused himself or anyone on his behalf, shall not threaten, pressurize or dissuade complainant and any other prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly, and shall not tamper evidence.
5. The applicant/accused shall produce identity proof alongwith his contact number, and numbers of those persons who shall be approached in case the accused is found unavailable.
6. The applicant/accused shall not commit any offence during period of release, shall keep peace and maintain good behaviour.
7. Breach of any condition would result in cancellation of bail so granted.
8. Bail before Ld. Magistrate.

Date :- 16.06.2026

(V. C. Barde)
Additional Sessions Judge
Baramati.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of Stenographer	:	I. E. Deshmukh Stenographer (G-1)
Court Name	:	Additional Sessions Judge, Baramati, Dist. Pune.
Date of Order	:	16.06.2026
Order signed by	:	
Presiding Officer	:	16.06.2026
Order uploaded on	:	16.06.2026